

Minutes of a Council Meeting of the Borough of Hillsdale held at 7:30 PM on Tuesday, September 10, 2019, in the Council Chamber, Borough of Hillsdale, New Jersey.

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Ruocco who also led the Salute to the Flag.

OPEN PUBLIC MEETING STATEMENT:

This is a meeting of the Hillsdale Borough Council on this 10th day of September, 2019. Notice of the time and place of this meeting has been provided to The Ridgewood News and The Record; a copy was posted on the bulletin board outside of this meeting room and provided to any interested parties.

Please notify the Municipal Clerk for any disability requirements necessary for attendance at Mayor and Council meetings. The fire exits are located through the double doors to your left. Please silence all cell phones.

ROLL CALL:

Council Members DeRosa, Escobar, Horvath, Lundy, Council President Pizzella, Mayor Ruocco, (Labor Attorney R. Wiss, CMFO DeJoseph, Borough Clerk Kohan), Absent: Council Member Segalas.

Mayor Ruocco thanked Attorney Wiss for attending tonight in lieu of Borough Attorney Madaio.

PROMOTIONS/PROCLAMATIONS/RECOGNITIONS -

PRESENTATIONS:

Sean O'Connor – Eagle Scout Presentation

- [Intro Letter](#)
- [Project Information](#)

Mayor Ruocco invited Sean O'Connor to come to the podium and inform the Council of his ideas.

Sean O'Connor introduced himself and said he was a member of Troop 108 and is working on his Eagle Scout project; he wants to make two benches and two flower boxes for the front of Borough Hall. He presented a picture to the Council listing the location of the flower boxes and the benches. The benches will be made of cedar wood 2 x 4's and the flower boxes will be a self-watering system and will not require much maintenance.

Mayor Ruocco said we received the documentation and asked the Council if they had any questions.

Council Member DeRosa asked how the self-watering system would work.

Sean O'Connor said rainfall will come in and there will be a spigot; if there is not sufficient rainfall you would use a watering can, fill it and storage would be underneath and that would last for about three weeks.

Mayor Ruocco said the absence of questions probably signifies approval and everyone is fine with the project.

OATH OF OFFICE/APPOINTMENTS

[Robert DeGroat – Hillsdale Fire Dept Member](#)

Mayor Ruocco said the Oath of Office will not take place here, Robert DeGroat will take the Oath of Office at Borough Hall with the Clerk at another time. However, he did want to recognize Robert DeGroat.

Motion to approve Appointment by Council Member Lundy, Second by Council Member DeRosa.

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Council Members Escobar, Lundy, Council President Pizzella, Horvath, DeRosa

Nays: None

Absent: Council Member Segalas

INITIAL PUBLIC COMMENT (Time limited, new topics only, one topic per speaker):

Mayor Ruocco opened the Initial Public Comment portion at this time, seeing no one, the Initial Public Comment portion was closed.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:

Motion _____ Second _____

Council Members Segalas, DeRosa, Escobar Horvath, Lundy, Council President Pizzella

PROFESSIONALS REPORT/MONTHLY DEPARTMENT HEAD REPORTS:

(The following correspondence on file in Borough Clerks Office)

[Tax Department Report – August 2019](#)

David Sireci, Tax Collector

Mayor Ruocco said this report was submitted to the Council in writing by our Tax Collector David Sireci, so we should move on at this point.

At this time the DPW Superintendent will give his report.

William Haffler said he will provide a quick report. Our tractor has come in that we purchased from our capital so we have our tractor, new dragger, all the attachments, so those capital items have been delivered, we've begun using them and he is extremely impressed how they operate. Our truck chassis was received by the truck vendor, our new snow plow, salter, dump truck and that will be at the body company worked on. I anticipate a month or six weeks for delivery which will be very helpful. We are in full swing getting ready for leaf season getting all of the trucks ready. We got a lot of equipment this year and he appreciated the help from the Public Works Committee and thanked the Borough Administrator and Borough Clerk for their assistance in getting the resolutions together, providing specs, and was thankful seeing this was his first budget year.

Mayor Ruocco said in speaking with the residents they have been very praise worthy of the efforts the DPW has made and they recognize the different improvements and your responsiveness to their needs.

COMMITTEE REPORTS:

Councilman - Anthony DeRosa

Councilman - John Escobar

Councilman – Zoltan Horvath

Councilwoman - Abby Lundy

Councilman – Steven Segalas

Council President – Frank Pizzella

Councilman DeRosa said the Regional Board of Ed, Pascack Valley, Pascack Hills, met last night and Thursday night is the night for TV. All doors in both schools have been locked for security and the TV and music wing is still under renovation and will be completed in January. On Ambulance, the communications will go in next week on the new ambulance and he explained how the stretchers will operate. They will show that at the Fall Festival.

Councilman Escobar provided his report at the last meeting.

Councilman Horvath said the '50 Club is having their first meeting September 12 at 11:30 AM and sandwiches will be available. The senior luncheon will be held on September 18 at St. Johns. Tomorrow is the 18th anniversary of 9-11 and there will be a large gathering in silence. This Saturday there is a special event in the town, we are having our Fall Festival and there will be many activities to enjoy. There will be a 9-11 ceremony at Veterans Park, one in the morning and one at night.

Councilwoman Lundy said the newly formed Library Foundation is having a kickoff event on Sunday, October 20 from 1PM to 4PM. There will be a story teller there. There are over 20 programs scheduled for the fall so check out the website for that. For Stonybrook, they were very diligent with expenses and she should have the final numbers by the next meeting.

Council President Pizzella said he referred to the Resolution on the Agenda tonight R19225. He wanted to remind everyone that recently we approved the investigation in the industrial zone and their recommendation that area be designated as a redevelopment area and hopefully the Council will pass that resolution which will then pave the way for us to create a redevelopment plan. This is a great thing and will update the area, create

more livable space, create jobs a great thing for Hillsdale. This will actually allow us to create plans. Last night Access for All will host a Halloween Party for unique children up to 18 and the Elks will provide the room. It will be held October 27 from 2PM to 5PM.

NEW BUSINESS:

CORRESPONDENCE:

DISCUSSION:

Proposed Restructuring of the Borough Administrator and CMFO positions

Mayor Ruocco said on the Agenda this evening there is a Resolution that would change the Borough Administrator/ CMFO structure that has served us well since 2016. It will also terminate the person who is filling those roles, Mr. DeJoseph. I believe this effort is irresponsible and unnecessary. Irresponsible because it comes up now as the Borough Administrator/Chief Financial Officer's contract expires at the end of the year and we face a critical need for continuity and expertise that he offers. Unnecessary because the residents are largely pleased with the direction the Borough has been heading under Mr. De Joseph's supervision. Moreover his documented performance appraisals do not justify in any way termination. At the conclusion of my remarks I would welcome remarks from Councilmembers on this matter and the public during the Public Comment period. As the Borough Administrator, Mr. DeJoseph actually runs the town subject to the overall consent of the Mayor and Council. All the various department heads report to him. He is also the Chief Financial Officer; he has a four-year contract that will expire in December. His total compensation of \$144,000 is inexpensive when you compare that to other towns in the Pascack Valley who pay to have two persons in that role. His salary is split into one overall contract where compensation for CFO is twice as much as that for the BA portion of his role. This troubles some members of Council because his Borough Administrator role demands more time than his CFO role. But I also know that those same Council Members are concerned that if Mr. DeJoseph is allowed to stay on as CFO beyond December, legally he will be afforded tenure as CFO and tenure means that to remove him Council would have to have specific cause unlike now where Council can remove him at will. The issue of tenure does not apply to his role as Borough Administrator under state law, only to his role as CFO. Now it is common practice among municipalities that when you get a qualified individual who can perform in more than one capacity you take advantage of that. Hillsdale was fortunate enough to be able to do that in 2016. We combined the functions of CFO and that of the BA and hired Mr. DeJoseph to execute those rolls. It has resulted in management efficiency and annual cost savings. The cost of having that person is less than paying two individuals and you get a person who can approach a problem in a comprehensive way. It is a structure used by many surrounding towns and across the state according to the N.J. League of Municipalities and has been adopted by most towns in Bergen County. This structure and his roles should not be tampered with lightly. Mr. DeJoseph is and has been open to negotiating distribution of his salary to address Council's concern. He is also willing to have two separate contracts, one as a Borough Administrator and one as a CFO. This was another demand of certain Councilmembers but instead and with no satisfactory explanation the same Councilmembers have refused to do that. Instead, they insist that we have two persons fill the shoes of one individual. I have been informed as recently as Sunday that they do not want Mr. DeJoseph to achieve tenure as CFO when his contract expires at the end of the year. As Mayor, I deal regularly and closely with the Borough Administrator and CFO more than the Council put together. I can say with certainty that the proposed resolution if adopted will lead to greater bureaucratic delay and need for more coordination in Borough Hall before action can be taken. Decision taking will be more difficult since the CFO would only be on site part time, not readily accessible to either the BA, department heads, clerical staff, Mayor or the Council. It will hamper responsiveness to inquiries from residents, Council Members, volunteers and employees because the CFO won't be consistently on site to address financial questions and concerns and it will cost you the residents dearly. The market for qualified, experienced Borough Administrators is a tight one; other towns that need to find Administrators and did so successfully often had to rely on consulting search firms. In the Pascack Valley the average salary of an Administrator who does not have any other role is approximately \$125,000. The average salary of CFO's is approximately \$87,000 and most are full time. The part timers make about \$45,000 as a CFO. I don't foresee any savings by replacing Mr. DeJoseph, I see additional expenses of about \$25,000 annually and that assumes you can even find qualified candidates. Depending on the timing of his replacement, we may need to hire a consultant to serve as Interim Borough Administrator and Interim CFO for several months. That is not the worst of it. There are many items now, redevelopment in the industrial area, affordable housing, labor negotiations, field improvements, budget submissions, grant applications, snow removal preparation, leaf removal preparation just to name a few. When these new people come on board, we will have to suffer through their learning curve as they get to know Hillsdale, while Mr. DeJoseph's considerable experience, knowledge and skills will go right out that door. How can this resolution be a step forward for Hillsdale when the functions of the Borough Administrator and CFO are carried out and executed satisfactorily

by Mr. DeJoseph at a salary that is less than that of a police lieutenant? How can it be a step forward when residents are telling me when I knock on their doors that they are pleased with the way the Borough is being run? Since Mr. DeJoseph joined us there have been noticeable improvements in snow and leaf removal. He and our DPW Superintendent revamped our DPW operations and made sure it obtained the needed capital equipment. The noise, traffic, smells from Waste Management have abated; he and the Hillsdale Police Department have executed flawlessly a plan to reduce the traffic problem at the farm, he and our Borough Engineer made sure we obtained the number of significant grants to improve the safety of our streets. He put in place a road program that will address the negligence of past administrations and a forestry management plan that will help the Borough to deal with tree issues. He developed budgets that kept our municipal tax increases low. While he doesn't do all these things single handedly, he has a staff of competent department heads that he works with closely and in some cases he helped recruit. He is responsible for the overall implementation of the procedures and policies of the Borough. It is interesting to note that all our Council Members who want a change in the position are gainfully and fully employed and that is great for them, so they are not in the position of seeing a typical day in our Borough Administrator and CFO. Being retired, I do, as I make it a point to be in Borough Hall several days a week, a couple of hours a day. Similarly, Council Horvath also retired knows that the resolution is unnecessary; the structure of a combined Borough Administrator/CFO is efficient and is producing good results and the person in that role is doing a good job. We know the juggling and multi-tasking Mr. DeJoseph has to do, I know the after hour calls he takes, the time he takes driving through town to check issues after hours. He works hard for the Borough, he lives in the Borough, and is committed to do the right thing for the Borough and that is unquestionable. And yet certain Councilmembers have been telling me for months "we want to make a change; . we don't want Mr. DeJoseph to get tenure, we want to see what else is out there." And so we have this resolution tonight which terminates our present Administrator/CFO for what I believe are undefined structural reasons. Do we intend to do this, see what else is out there, with other municipal officers who contracts are near expiration, or are we going to do it to officers who may get tenure, do we want to put their jobs up for grabs even when they are doing a good job, I certainly hope not. But in Mr. DeJoseph's case, for some reason, a group of Council Members want to do it. This sets a terrible precedent, one seemingly being dependent on the individual being discussed, and exposes the Borough to charges of unfair labor practices. Council should want to treat all employees in a uniform and fair manner. And the timing of all this, it is about as bad as I can imagine; the Borough is about to enter a critical period with preparations for the budget to start in November and negotiations with a significant bargaining unit, the PBA, due to start in January. I have informed Council regularly the stakes are very high this year because the restraints of 2% cap arbitration awards has not been renewed by Trenton and it is incumbent on us elected officials to prepare for the possibility of arbitration. We were elected to represent the interests of our taxpayers and to do so we must be prepared. You don't do that by releasing your experienced Borough Administrator, Chief Financial Officer right before negotiations. You don't fire your primary budget person just before the budget process starts but that is exactly what the resolution calls for. So how can this be that Council Members are even willing to consider a structural resolution? The answer is that changing the structure became the only way for Council to make a personnel change without recounting Jon's performance. The resolution in my view is a pretext, an excuse, to make a personnel change sought by several Council members for reasons that are indeed very troubling. Since becoming Mayor two years ago, several members have expressed to me several times they wanted a personnel management change at the BA/CFO. I found their reasoning and their lack of specifics to be weak, unconvincing and exaggerated. So, I asked them to document it in writing as there is a process the Borough should follow in matters such as this and it was not followed by Council members. At no time while I was preparing Mr. DeJoseph's evaluation in 2018 and in 2019 did the Council members who hoped to remove him provide me with any written comments for inclusion in his appraisal despite several written reminders from me. The BA serves at the pleasure of Mayor and Council and he reports to all of us but it falls to me, the Mayor, to evaluate his performance and I received only oral comments, some of which were based on third party hearsay and others that did dwell on legitimate improvement needs but in the context of his overall performance were minor. I knew after decades of evaluating professionals and managers in the banking industry that oral commentary should never be the basis of writing an appraisal. No well-run professional organization that wishes to fairly evaluate, develop and retain their staff does that. Consequently Mr. DeJoseph was given two consecutive annual appraisals, three if you count the one given by the prior Mayor, which will reflect the overall positive views of the Mayors and other Council members at the time. After the last appraisal was written and delivered, the Council members who failed to provide evaluations in writing realized that the opportunity to deny him tenure and release him was running out and so when I met with them in the spring and summer to understand their criticisms and dissuade them, they stepped up their criticisms, all oral, focusing on minor flaws or events in the last two years. As one Councilmember told me in April well before the restructuring concept even was formalized, we have the votes to terminate him regardless of your opinion Mr. Mayor. Exactly what are these reasons for removal? After speaking with each member of Council it seems to come down to Mr. DeJoseph's ability and manner to say "no" to people who want things. And yes, he does say "no" regularly--- sometimes when I and certainly the Council would say "yes." But when he says "no" it is usually because he believes when he says "yes" it jeopardize his fiduciary responsibility to the Borough or cause the Borough to waste money or place the Borough in a difficult position. Now I ask you, is that a bad thing? I understand that politicians hate to have "no" said to any constituency which is exactly one of the

reasons why the state allows us to hire professionals to run the town. I would rather have a Borough Administrator and CFO that errs on the side of fiduciary caution and fiscal conservatism even if it upsets some, than have one who doesn't care how and when public's money is spent. Several Councilmembers have reminded me that a Borough Administrator can be removed at will by the governing body no reason needed and they are correct. BA's are not protected from the political whims of Council; this resolution is proof of that. There are moments in life when you are permitted to do something but you don't have the reason, there is no good reason here, there is only political contrivance. When I ran for Council in 2014 and Mayor in 2017 I promised the voters I would seek to improve the professional management of this municipality and do everything I could to control taxes in a reasonable way., This resolution certainly doesn't fit the bill and that is why I am speaking out despite the efforts of some of my Councilmembers to have me remain silent. It runs contrary to the spirit and process we set forth for our employees in our employee handbook. We will make it more difficult to attract qualified talent to the Borough once future applicants discover how poorly the Council treated a well-performing key official. To summarize, the restructuring of certain members of Council want to do in an effort to enact a personnel change is reckless and irresponsible. It will cause a tremendous amount of experience to walk out the door, it will damage our reputation as an employer, it will expose the Borough to significant operational risks at a crucial time. It will increase our salary expenses and susceptibility to litigation, it will set in motion a bad policy for the future treatment of employees with expiring contracts. I urge this Council to reconsider this irresponsible and reckless resolution and would welcome a further discussion of this matter now. If you decide to move ahead with this restructuring, I urge you to at least then offer the BA position to Mr. DeJoseph in the future and hire him back at least at the BA role. The floor is now open for anyone of the Council who wishes to comment and have this discussion and I will recognize in order when you raise your hand.

Council President Pizzella said mine are more questions as opposed to making a comment. I want the Borough Attorney answer some of my questions because I want to understand what I am doing and what I am being asked to do. The first thing is I want to understand the current situation; to my understanding that currently as it sits there is a contract and the contract is up and the Borough has to make a decision as to what to do with this contract and that if we don't we would just let it go. The individual who is our current BA automatically gets tenured at a \$90,000 cost to the Borough for a part-time position that normally gets paid \$30,000. This contract is heavily weighted toward one of this individual's current roles. If we don't do something and sit by and do nothing the contract keeps on and the person gets tenured at a \$90,000 position which is not what that position calls for. It was how that contract was negotiated and brought to Council.

Borough Attorney Wiss said tenure only arises on the expiration of terms and reappointment so the tenure position would not arise and the new Council is reorganized January 1 or next year.

Council President Pizzella said if we do nothing ...

Attorney Wiss said you have to do something so in respect to something, the contract expires on December 31, 2019, so whether it is a restructuring or a reformation of the current contract something must be done before year's end.

Council President Pizzella said the next question I have is about the current situation. The contract has two positions, one is the BA and the other is the CFO. The CFO is a statutory position and with it comes tenure similar to the Clerk and the reason why in my mind why the government of the State of New Jersey made these positions tenured because Councils come and go and there are two things that have to continue regardless of any of us. One is the administration of the legal aspect of the town which the Clerk does, resolutions, filing of things, all the stuff that really creates the history of what goes on in Council. The other position is the CFO and that's the person who deals with finances; why, if some of us are gone the money still has to go, things have to get paid, notes has to get paid and that is what happens; am I right?

Attorney Wiss said those are the tenured positions.

Council President Pizzella said the reason why they are tenured is because they are not supposed to be political. When you start to combine these things, a BA position is not tenured and a BA position tends to be a more political role. Councils come and the majority usually gets someone they want. Councils go and it changes; the BA is a very difficult position for a person to have because it has a lot of politics behind it and a lot of personality issues. It is different from the CFO and from the Clerk so in my mind to combine these two, a non-political one with a political one you defeat the whole purpose of having a non-political position. In addition when you have a contract of both these positions, they tend to depend on one other. For example, we have a BA and a CFO and the majority of that person's salary is weighted on the CFO tenured position so we have to do something, we have to change that so automatically it will impact this individual's BA position because they are in one contract. In my mind it really should be two separate contracts for two separate positions. That doesn't mean the same person can't do both of them but in my mind there should be two separate contracts. To

some people on Council could mean that one is a full-time position and one a part-time position so how can you do a full-time position and a part-time position. Am I right in explaining?

Attorney Wiss said the common term is we now have a hybrid contract, two positions in a singular contract. You explained what would be separate contracts and two positions held by one person.

Council President Pizzella said another example is these two positions tend to impact the aspects of this contract as it sits, a part-time job at \$90,000 a year. That is probably political because the person who did the contract had to be sure the BA is there all the time. It is not supposed to be that way, it doesn't make it correct. I am not voting to terminate him am I?

Attorney Wiss said this is restructuring the position. The resolution does provide for notification to Jon, the incumbent, because of the 90 day provision in the BA statute. The way the statute reads since the BA is a position without tenure that if the position is going to be terminated the restructuring will ensure that the incumbent is given 90 days' notice or compensation for 90 days if he immediately separates himself from his position.

Council President Pizzella asked if he can apply for one of the positions or both the positions if he wants to. Is anybody saying they are not allowed to apply for these positions? I want to be sure I understand what is going on.

Mayor Ruocco said he cannot do both.

Council President Pizzella asked why he can't do both.

Attorney Wiss said the restructuring contemplates separate full-time position from a part-time CFO position.

Council President Pizzella asked if right now the BA position is a part-time position.

Attorney Wiss said it is best characterized as a hybrid position.

Council President Pizzella said this would mean that it is not a full-time?

Attorney Wiss said you have one individual encompassing both functions.

Council President Pizzella said how one would do both if one is a part-time position and one is a full-time position? That is why the statute is the way it is. It creates a position for both roles and it makes the non-political one tenured. That is how I understand it, I want the public to understand why I am doing what I am doing. I want to make sure I understand I know what I am doing; there is a standard this Council has to abide by when it makes decisions like this. When you are making a structural decision what does not bring into play is performance and it is my understanding we are not to discuss performance in any way; performance is not something that we are allowed to discuss nor is it something that is required to be discussed based on the standard that we have to use for our decision making.

Attorney Wiss said you are allowed to discuss performance; you are not required to discuss performance; restructuring as the resolution has framed is not based on performance.

Council President Pizzella asked about discussions in our closed session meetings.

Attorney Wiss said no.

Council President Pizzella wanted to make sure he had all his questions answered. It seems all we are doing is what the statute contemplates in the first place; two separate positions. We are not saying to the person that they can't apply for one of them and we haven't discussed performance and we are not required to discuss performance. The contract as it sits right now, we can do whatever we wish to do. We are not obligated to do anything. I totally understand what the Mayor said and I acknowledge his position. I sat on the fence for a while; I think what this Council is trying to do is make things consistent with the statute and make sure we are having roles fulfilled in an appropriate way and not letting politics get in the way and correcting something that might not having been appropriate in the past. I just wanted to make sure I knew what I was doing. I wanted to make sure we are doing things consistent with the statute and not doing anything inappropriate.

Mayor Ruocco said under Roberts Rules of Order which we operate here, the chair has the responsibility of bouncing back the pros and the cons of a particular discussion. He said if Councilman Horvath wanted to respond to do so.

Councilman Horvath said he does want to respond. Other towns are working with this and it is working efficiently and my contention is if it is not broken why do we need to fix it. Rather than rehash everything again I concur with the Mayor and everything he said and it is most accurate in my opinion and again, if it's not broken there is no need to fix it. It is working efficiently and well and has been doing so in the last number of years and I never heard any complaints in reference to the positions and I just don't want to see a change.

Council President Pizzella said when you say it is not broken that would imply that we have to talk about what is wrong with it you are forced to talk about performance which no one wants to make an issue of. When you say it is not broken, I would have to bring up it is not based on the standard. I should not be talking about performance; it is not broken it is not compliant. I already explained that you have two roles both of which this town uses one of which is a statutory requirement, a role that is tenured. We have taken both and combined them together. This individual can't do both which again is not compliant with the statute; it is not that it is broken it is not compliant, it doesn't meet the standards and again, no one is talking about the individual.

Councilman Horvath said if it is not broken there is no reason to change it. Other towns are working under the same conditions and it is working efficiently for them. Again, if it is not broken there is no reason to fix it. It is working well, worked well in the past; if you change the situation with breaking up these positions it creates its own turmoil's. It is too much to go into now because we are not discussing performances but if I am permitted to say Jon has been doing a great job and I have no objections, I've had the opportunity to see him follow through on things and I think he has done a great job. I think he should be able to continue.

Mayor Ruocco said he would like answer some of the things Council President Pizzella said. He talked about this being a non-compliant situation. That is nonsense. The statute does not force us to have separate CFO's and BA's and there are reasons why towns do it. If you find the right person you fit that person in both roles. If the person is doing a good job you naturally keep the person in that role. I don't care what Councilman Pizzella says in terms of structure and why he is doing it, to me it is a big machination; to defend a personnel change that he himself and other Councilmembers have consistently told me they want to make and it was over their perception of Jon's performance. So, when he says he doesn't want to talk about performance, I don't understand it. He has been talking to me about the Borough Administrator's performance for months and years.

Council President Pizzella said don't put words in my mouth.

Mayor Ruocco said you will have the floor when I finish. The statute doesn't require a separation, it allows separation. It also allows the combination; the issue here is what Councilman Horvath said; you have results, results which are good for the Borough, do you want to change it? Do you want to change the structure and force the gentleman who has the role out when performance is good and the results are good? The resolution itself calls for three months' notice to the BA shall be given for his removal effective December 31, 2019 from his position in order to accommodate the restructuring being provided therein. Now if that is true, that to me is termination. He may reapply; I don't know what the results of his reapplication would be when it is this Council's election to have him removed in the first place. What I heard here from out of your mouth is nothing that persuades any reasonable, non-biased person from saying results matter. Your theoretical views of why the two positions need to be separate, or has to be separate, or must be separate, hold no water. You have the floor Mr. Pizzella.

Council President Pizzella said he did not appreciate putting words in my mouth. We are not supposed to be talking about performance, it is not a performance issue. You used a standard that we are not supposed to be using and by doing that you are exposing the town and you know you are doing that. The attorney told you not to talk about performance, the attorney told you that if you do you will expose the town and you continued to do it. That is your issue, it is not mine. When you put words in my mouth, I don't appreciate it; if I ever had an issue with Mr. DeJoseph, I have spoken to you about it. I have also spoken to him about it with the attorney present, I have tried to help Mr. DeJoseph as much as possible, not only in this tenure but in his last tenure. Again, it is not about the individual it is about the position; I cannot speak for everybody on the Council, I only speak for myself because I want people to understand. When you say that the statute doesn't disapprove it or allows for it, there is a reason why he would not be able to apply for both positions. The reason why is because you can't do both and when you say towns use it, I understand towns use it; so \$150,000 for a CFO position and tenured that doesn't make it correct. Just because you are allowed to do it doesn't make it right. What I am doing is making what we are doing compliant with what is fair. When you do combine positions They don't work efficiently, the person doesn't have the time, they don't have the time to do all of that stuff. My point is there is a reason why you can't apply for both because the government acknowledges that you can't do both. You should have a part-time BA and a part-time CFO and part-timers to do all those roles. It is not that it is allowed or not, it is not appropriate and towns do whatever they want provided it is not disallowed. You yourself have also admitted having \$90,000 for a part-time role and having that tenured is not appropriate I go

back to if it's not broken, don't fix it; it is broken, you don't pay a person \$90,000 for a part-time position and you don't tenure it. It has nothing to do with the individual.

Mayor Ruocco said, let me ask, since Mr. DeJoseph has indicated his willingness to renegotiate the contract and reverse that and he has indicated his willingness to sign separate contracts, why are we terminating?

Council President Pizzella said again we are not terminating him and he can reapply and I cannot speak for the other members of the Council that may or may not have agreed with that, I can only speak for myself. I explained to you what I was doing, I did not have an issue renegotiating it but again I do not want to expose the town why my Counsel insists that I am not to talk about this.

Mayor Ruocco said the only thing that will expose this Council to future litigation are not the words of the Mayor but the actions of the Council. I said the only thing that is going to introduce risks, that is litigation, are not the words of the Mayor but the actions of the Council. You talk about it not being a performance issue I know better because I sat in on the discussions with each of you individually over the spring and the summer and before that, I have had conversations with each of you and you have expressed your desire to terminate him and when you lost the opportunity because you knew the comments you were standing on or the reasons you were standing on were specious. You missed the opportunity to put that into his appraisal, now you have come up with a restructuring concept only recently that says we are restructuring you out of your job, we are terminating you, you can reapply. You talked about towns giving CFO's \$150,000. There are very few towns that do that but as I said earlier, towns do crazy things and you said it yourself. Hillsdale for reasons I wasn't responsible for entered into a contract which I believe you voted for back in 2016 to give this to Mr. DeJoseph his current salary and now you would like to change it and he is willing to change so why do we go through the machinations of a structural resolution to change the structure at a critical time to satisfy some idea in your head that has to be satisfied and not required by statute.

Council President Pizzella asked Attorney Wiss for help here. I am not supposed to talk about certain things and I still am not doing it because I don't want to expose the town. So, I am not going to be baited to talk about things that are inappropriate; whenever I had an issue with any individual, I have spoken to you and have always had you present. I did approve that contract and I explained to the individual who put it before me that it needs to change in a certain period of time because it is inappropriate; the people before us did it with the BA's and with their Clerk, so it doesn't make it correct and I am correcting what is inappropriate then and it is inappropriate now. I cannot negotiate for an entire Council; when I started talking about this, I wanted to talk about what I would do. I don't have an issue renegotiating the contract but that doesn't mean that everyone up here has to do it. But I think everyone up here has to make a change with what is right and what is wrong. Again, the individual is free to reapply and if he reapplies for the CFO position and was hired, he would not lose his tenure. He would get tenure at a more appropriate salary because we put it back to the way it is supposed to be. If we don't take this step, we are not going to resolve the inappropriateness of the past. The contract is heavily weighed to a part-time position to ensure that person got that salary forever and I was told that then that it could be changed and that is what I am doing now. I was given the opportunity to represent Hillsdale and I am doing whatever I can and that is why I think this is an appropriate action. I am not terminating anybody.

Attorney Wiss said your question with regard to the resolution addresses a restructuring of positions not restructure of contract.

Council President Pizzella asked if he is saying anything that is inappropriate because I need to know. You told me what I am supposed to talk about and what I am not supposed to talk about. Am I saying anything I am not supposed to talk about?

Attorney Wiss says the resolution addresses as framed, addresses a desire to restructure the current hybrid position to two positions a full time BA and a part-time CFO.

Council President Pizzella asked if he did counsel our Mayor that performance is not something we should be talking about because it exposes him.

Attorney Wiss said it is probably better that we don't discuss litigation in a public forum.

Council President Pizzella said he will take that as a response to his question.

Mayor Ruocco said he is a simple man and when I manage folks in business, I evaluated them on their performance. Some of them had two jobs and didn't know they had two jobs and after listening to you Councilman I am more confused than ever. Your attempt to justify what the law doesn't even require is certainly amazing and all I can tell you is the residents are telling me they are happy with the direction of the

town, happy to see the changes that have been made. We have a BA being paid at a rate that is less than what you end up with when you satisfy your vision of having a separate BA and a part-time CFO. If that is what you want to put the town through and you convince the other Councilmembers to do it that is fine. As I said earlier, it is irresponsible and particularly reckless at this moment when we have so much ahead of us on our plate given the budget process, giving negotiations, giving the things we are involved in right now, one of them being your own interest in development. You can twist and turn the arguments any way you like but it really comes down to performance, amazingly. The proof is in the pudding, I don't hear the people clamoring for a change in what the Borough is doing, I see other Boroughs combine the BA's with Clerk positions, with CFO positions, with Tax Collector positions and they do it for efficiency and they do it because it provides coverage in the Borough and what you will have if you get your way is a CFO that is here 15, 20 hours a week, maybe be starting at 4PM at night and going to 7PM at night when no one else is around and we can't question the individual when we have questions. I really don't understand how this makes government more efficient, it makes it less efficient.

Council President Pizzella said I am saying what I am saying because I wanted to understand what I was doing and I wanted people to understand what it was doing today. I am not speaking for the rest of the Council. I don't think it is appropriate to give someone tenure \$90,000 for a part-time position. When you say that is irresponsible I would rather be responsible, I don't think anybody should get tenured, I don't believe in tenure but I can acknowledge and can respect tenure in a statutory position created by the government for a position that is not political because it has to stay specific in staying stable to keep the government going. I don't think it is appropriate to have \$90,000 tenured for that position. I did not convince anybody of anything, this is not my goal; you said yourself what my goal is, my goal is redevelopment for seven years. My goal is not to convince anybody of this; it is my understanding that you were approached by other members of the Council as early as June and even before that and you chose to have it go and go and go. When somebody doesn't agree with you, I didn't get on a high horse and convince anybody. It wasn't on my platform.

Attorney Wiss said to Mr. Pizzella that your discussion seems to be circular at this point.

Council President Pizzella said one other thing, I myself have been involved in this for the past 20 years, I've run companies, I have had over 65 employees trying to create revenue, etc. so I think I know a little bit about managing and I think I am doing the right thing by separating the two positions and I am not saying that individual cannot reapply because he would have to. You said yourself he can't apply for both and the reason why is you can't do both.

Mayor Ruocco said he will have one final say and the only thing he would have to say is the gentleman you are about to terminate had already indicated his willingness to renegotiate his salary to flip it so that it addressed your concern about not getting tenure at the high salary or \$90,000; he would flip it the other way around and that he would do it in two separate contracts, but there was no appetite on the part of yourself or other Councilmembers. Can we assume, can we move forward and just rehire him?; I'll have Mr. Wiss draft a contract to keep Mr. DeJoseph as the BA, CFO, reverse the salary in separate contracts.

Council President Pizzella said you know you can't do that; I am not speaking for everybody; I am speaking for myself and as Council President because that is what Council President has to do. In order to get where you are going you have to take this step first and correct what was inappropriate in the past. You have to take this step in order to get to the next step but there are other members of Council who are not willing to do that. You are making me bring up things that are inappropriate to bring up in public session. I explained why I am doing what I am doing and doing things that were not compliant in the past.

Councilmember Horvath said I believe we are beating around the bush and I don't believe it is appropriate.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Mayor Ruocco opened the Public Comment period at this time.

Scott Karcich, 311 Liberty Avenue –

He addressed Resolution 19228. In 2015 and 2016 when I ran with Councilwoman Lundy and with the now retired Mayor Frank, I ran on a platform of professionalism, to run the Borough with business approaches to better serve its residents. At that time that was lacking in the Borough which was professional management of the day to day operations of the business end of this Borough. At the time we had a Clerk with no experience or training doing the BA job and it was a disaster. No grants were gotten, late filings especially with some of our senior grants, very little vendor and pricing management which cost our Borough money, limiting management of the other Department Heads like DPW which caused quality of life issues for our tax payers, not a good environment for the taxpayer which you serve up on the dais. As a Council person I was part of the search team for the new BA at the time. The applicants we had gotten were not qualified, they weren't BA's or were ex Police Chiefs, people who wanted us to pay while they learn on the job which I was not willing to do and which

Hillsdale cannot afford. Remember, we asked Mr. DeJoseph to come back from a stable position in another town to come help us fix this mess. We went to him, when he started here it wasn't easy, there was lots of resistance from Department Heads and some Council people. To this day I don't understand the resistance of some of these Council people who voted and approved Mr. DeJoseph and had issues and never articulated their issues other than to say they didn't like him or had a problem. They never put anything in writing either. Most of this beginning of resistance had to do with the Department Heads not following proper purchasing procedures, guidelines and best practices. The outcome of this as we all know is we revamp the employee handbook. We did some training with other department heads to make sure these problems weren't reoccurring. Mr. DeJoseph met this challenge head on and tightened our ships. What a lot of Council people forget is the role of the BA make you all look good up here by doing the little things, the unsexy things, hard things, difficult conversations and sometime confrontation when needed; in essence, a good business manager for the band and in our case, the Borough. During Mr. DeJoseph's tenure when I was on Council, he worked hard to bring hundreds of thousands of dollars of grant money in programs back to our Borough, something greatly lacking for years. He also saved the Borough tens of thousands of dollars using proper state contracts, co-op purchasing contracts, County contracts and this is what gets him in trouble a lot having a key eye on spending which waffled a lot of feathers internally in the Borough. In the years I worked with Mr. DeJoseph he was sometimes tough but he was also fair, it is what a good manager should be. Mr. DeJoseph always had the taxpayer at heart, he lives in town, it is also his tax dollars too which I always appreciated. In his heart it was not about tribal politics or petty internal politics but what is best for the taxpayer and the community at large. Every year Council people were tasked with writing performance appraisals something in corporate business life I was very accustomed to. To my knowledge and I am only one of the few who actually did this task regarding Mr. DeJoseph. If Mr. DeJoseph was a performance issue why was this not documented in detail, why no improvement plan like we did for our prior DPW Superintendent. Is it a misguided attempt to save the Borough money, I ask you to reconsider that as it is not going to save us any money at all. When I was part of the BA search team, I discussed the values and benefits of having a BA and CFO in a combined role. This approach has served the Borough well. The two jobs are intertwined in many ways, it speeds the flow of information, it speeds the Borough to quicker reactions to situations and saves the Borough money by having someone to perform both duties. I believe that Mr. DeJoseph has proven that this approach works. To justify to a taxpayer why this isn't working, what has changed since my nine months since I left Council; in my many years I served Council people, no staying in their lanes, knowing their roles and desperately trying to do the job of the executive; for those at home, trying to do the job of a BA's job. Borough employees report to the Mayor and the Business Administrator not to Council. The Council consents and passes rules and laws; I myself was a victim of this nonsense with secret closed-door meetings that I was head liaison for. Mr. DeJoseph and the Mayor have also been victims of this same nonsense. It is my belief that Mr. DeJoseph has fallen to the same victimhood and it is not right. This is his livelihood and it is not a game. Remember, we as Council asked him to come back and this is now how you are treating him for his performance. He has a family to support so to submit this resolution when the Council has not done any formal performance appraisers or improvements are irresponsible and not right especially when he is so close to tenure. Why not formally write up your performance issues for Mr. DeJoseph for the public to see. Is it fear that he is going to be tenured in January; if he has done such a terrible job to warrant this resolution why haven't you fired him months ago; not that I am advocating this but where is there transparency in government, that's another issue we all ran on, transparency in government. It doesn't seem like this Council wants to enlighten the taxpayer on any performance issues, you are not going to give us any examples of duties he did not perform in his job description. I hope this is not another attempt for a Council person to move into any of these positions or try to promote from within as I know firsthand no one internally has the qualifications or experience to perform the roles of BA or CFO. So please enlighten us to why because it is not a structural thing. What are your plans as this is one of the most important jobs of the Borough excluding the Police Chief? From all the blank stares I see only one or two Council people responding back, I just see this as another attempt of tribal control. Mr. DeJoseph is not a yes man, if sees something is wrong, he is going to tell you; you may not like the news, you may not like the answer, you may be uncomfortable but that is what a good manager is supposed to do. Remember, you serve the taxpayer, not your comfort, not your aspirations, your friends or allies. My final years on Council I heard grumblings of Mr. DeJoseph by the majority of Council people and when I confronted them, they would never go on record about their issues, give any sensible reason or position, certainly not put anything in writing. If this move today to pass this resolution is merely political and not on his job performance then this Council is not keeping its promises to the taxpayer and it is a sad day for Hillsdale and you are probably opening up the Borough to a law suit due to your negligence. Thank you for your time.

Michael Giancarlo, 520 Hillsdale Avenue –

I was a two terms Councilmember; Chairman of the Hillsdale Planning Board and I am not speaking on behalf of the Planning Board I am speaking on behalf of a private citizen. I am not easily roused and I don't like coming to these meetings and I come very infrequently but when I do, I tend to think there is some kind of injustice going on so I wanted to come tonight to speak about it. I do not think any of the Council people were baited by the Mayor this evening, I do not think that the Mayor ever spoke about performance here; I think the Mayor was speaking on behalf of some injustice being perpetrated and I tend to agree with him. I was a two

term Councilmember, I served with Councilman DeJoseph and he was our Administrator/Clerk back in 2008 through 2012. At the time I was Council President similar to Mr. DeJoseph and I know Mr. DeJoseph intimately. From a private standpoint I had two businesses during the course of my lifetime; at one time I was in charge of 170 people and the currently I am the boss of about 40 people, not the boss but I run a business with about 40 people. I think I know what a good Administrator is and what it takes to be a good Administrator, how to run things right. I know Mr. DeJoseph, he is a good man and I understand where Mr. Pizzella is coming from. I don't like tenure either I don't like operating under a tenure situation, I wish that people were evaluated on performance every day. I get that as a fiscal conservative and as Councilman I was always a guardian of everyone's pocket books here and I understood what it took in order to watch everyone's pocket book. You do operate under tenure and I understand there are certain time lines and people get tenure after a certain time but I do know Mr. DeJoseph too and he looked out for the taxpayer of this town; if he cared about anything in this town he busted my chops all the time as a Council person as well as on the Planning Board. If I don't submit my vouchers and I don't have them signed by 30 people he busts my chops. I get it, I need \$30 and trying to pay off someone he makes sure I have everything signed on behalf of the people of Hillsdale. It annoys me, I want to give the person \$30 but that is what he does. He makes sure I get things done so that I can pay the person. At the end of the day the person who is paying \$20,000 a year in taxes that is the kind of person I want looking after my pocket book and he doesn't make me happy but he is following the rules. That is what I feel all of this is about. As politicians, as members of the legislative branch, I as a taxpayer feel that annoys the Council people because they want to get re-elected. He is doing his job and that is what he is there for, that is why we put him in power, that is why we pay him his salary. At the time we hired him he replaced a person who had three jobs going as a tax broker, insurance salesman and I don't know what else but you as taxpayer were paying for this. We went in there and said this won't do and at the time we saved \$30,000, it made big sense in 2008, Whether they have to renegotiate it is up to them but at the time he was saving you, me \$30,000 and he counted man holes in this town and he found out that United Water was charging us too much money; \$40 a man hole whatever it was and the same thing with PSE&G, they overcharged us. This is the kind of person he is, not perfect and I am sure they have different things to talk to him about, but this is the kind of person he is. I think they need to think about that and I hear this as being very political. I owe you nothing other than the fact that that is all I want as a taxpayer. He is a good man, counting man holes, the person he replaced had three different jobs and what the Mayor said had a lot of sense and you work at the Federal Reserve, not many people get to work at the Federal Reserve and I also think Council is over stepping things, they are a legislative branch, they are not the executive branch. You are the executive branch, you lose on that, you don't get all the votes but in the mean time you are the executive branch and I think you all need to know your place a little bit, you are legislative and get the vote most of the time, he only gets the tie break. He gets to go to town hall five days a week which you don't, you have to go to your jobs, he gets the drudgery of going into that room and making decisions and hiring decisions and it is dull work. He is telling you he is the right man for the job and you need to listen to that. That is someone who as a business owner and someone who has hired a lot of people and worked with a lot of people, I think you need to listen to that a little bit. As someone on the Planning Board I do think there is a push to certain development in this town and I understand there are retables and things like that, but as a person I hope this has nothing to do with that. I have to call it as I see it here. I don't know what the rush is but I think you need to take a step back; if he was a bad man and wasn't doing his job I would be home right now. I think you are doing the wrong thing here; you need to think about it and talk to him. From everything I heard you haven't given him the time of day to talk to him about it and I am sure there are a couple of things that could be improved but it sounds like there is no talking going on. If there was talking going on you would probably get what you want and what concerns me is that you are trying to push through what you want, I know politics and the one thing I know. I live in the house across from the tower and the reason I got involved is one day I was restoring my house and he had a Mayor come to him and say where is your permit and I said the permit is in the window. The Mayor said you didn't get permission from me, stop in my office downtown and I will tell you whether you can build or not. I already had a permit and I needed to get involved in politics; the Mayor is basically saying you can't build unless you talk to him. Lo and behold I am here on the Planning Board, that is the whole reason and why I pay \$20,000 in taxes. That is the reason why I am involved in town, no other reason. I am going to speak up in this town when I see nonsense and I feel this is nonsense right now. I hope this Council and this Mayor give this man a fair shake because there are people like me not as outspoken; this man cares where our money is going; he doesn't make me happy all the time but that is the person you want looking out for your pocket books. Thank you very much.

Ian Askins, 87 Oak Trail –

I would speak as Democratic entity for Council. I am very pleased to find that local politics are much nicer than national politics. We have good discussions and no matter what I say right now I am going to be agreeing with someone. I do not know a lot of conversations that are going on behind closed doors about performance, I heard lots of disagreeing statements. From what I have heard and from the Borough website and various other sources it sounds like if we were going to judge on personality and performance, we have a BA who is doing a pretty good job, being fiscally responsible and that is a good thing. They are making our jobs a little more annoying sometimes, you can't pass all the plans you want and they are really great plans, and if there is logic

behind the reason that is a good thing. I don't know what the details are, there may be bigger problems behind closed doors. There may be personal issues reaching egregious levels which may be grounds for dismissal. If any of that is the case, there is a documentation process that should be followed. If the issue is honestly a structural one, I can sympathize with failing an ideal, I am a mathematician, I love ideals. But if you really are searching for an ideal it should be able to cover all cases so we want to set up positions, a financial position, an administrative position, so that if there is a person who can do both jobs has the ability to have mental ability and energy for the time and all the necessary qualities to cover both they should be allowed to do so. If there is another person who does not have these qualities they should be let go or bring in a second person. If the only issue is that as written right now assigning the one person where a full-time job and a part time job is not going to work so well that might be general, we have various protections for that. People are different, we know how hard authority work is for some and some people have 80-hour work weeks and they somehow go on four hours for sleep and who at 10:00 o'clock at night can still talk to you and have energy, that is great. That is not everybody but for some it works. It sounds like from what public statements have been made and I don't know what is going on behind closed doors, we might have someone who works in both those positions. If they are really searching for an ideal then there should possibly be a restructuring and figure out a way to make it work, find some leap hole in the law to make it work that there are two positions, one full-time, one part-time, one tenured, one not tenured, figure it, but make it explicit that if the right person comes along and if the Council approves of it, you can have one person hold both positions. It might work if you look into it, that is collectively your job. Get some help from the Borough Attorney, take time to see if this can work; only if you have done all of that and every single in text laws to find out that it can, then you make the choice to maybe let someone go. From just the public statements it does not sound like that has happened, knowing only what I know from what I heard tonight, what is written on this piece of paper and has been explained as a resolution, if I was sitting up with the Council right now I would vote No. Thank you.

Marie Cartwright, 40 Riverdale Street –

I have lived in Hillsdale for 48 years; my husband died when my children were 2 and 4 and I love this town so much, I love everything about it. I love the school system, I love the Council, I love the Police Department. I have a son who is deaf and I have come to the Council a number of times in terms of getting signs on my street that there was a deaf child there for his protection. I came tonight because I was interested in the thinking of Council and I wanted to get as much information as I could about the issue at hand. I realize you are saying that the person in the position holds two roles; some people feel it is non-compliant yet there are so many towns around that are doing just the same thing very effectively. I assume that by-laws require you terminate the individual before you can change to a different setup. Although the Attorney did say you are forbidden to speak about performance, it is something that can be said. We have somebody who is working well; I know my neighbors and I have been very happy with the way the town has been going and I believe that if you make this decision, to make the change, you are going to make Hillsdale look terrible because other towns have it and they don't seem to be having big problems with it and the question I have if it is being done to save money why did you wait and why are you waiting until the man is supposed to receive tenure. Why didn't you do it a year ago? Why didn't you do it two years ago if it was going to be so wonderful for the town? I question it and I also question as the Mayor has mentioned open us up to litigation. As a taxpayer I don't have to pay for that and I would rather see someone who is competent, capable, and proven to be well adjusted to the position and be hired without making any changes. Thank you.

Jeff Laufhutte, 55 Melville Road –

I didn't come tonight thinking I would have something to say but I have a couple of things I hope put things into perspective. I didn't come here tonight because I have a horse in the race and I don't know Mr. DeJoseph, want to support him or don't want to support some of the other comments. From my background, I was CEO for Honeywell, 1.5- billion-dollar budget, 5,000 employees, 13 vice-presidents, 22 directors. I understand about positions and putting things together. Some of the arguments I am hearing tonight don't make sense in trying to run an organization and trying to run a town. I've been in town since 1999 and I would say that Hillsdale is a better place today than it was before. That is because of each of the Councilmembers, Mayor, and all the effort we put in. A lot of these jobs' you guys do are basically volunteer positions, you all have jobs, you try to make this job better. You already have a role that is combined, with somebody here full time, probably the only person except the Clerk who is here full-time. I managed a 1.5 billion budget; you have to make terribly tough decisions; if the person making the financial decisions is well liked you probably have a problem. If the person making the difficult positions and trying to hold that together and I hear the word performance; there are two levels of performance here; there is the individual performance of we don't want to talk about what somebody says, what they do, their style, but there is the performance of the town, the performance of the combined roles that delivers an outcome that is working or not. I haven't heard a thing tonight that says it is not working. What isn't working would have to be fixed. Councilman you bring up the fact that roles may have to be changed, the split isn't right, there truly is an option to do that by either abstaining tonight or holding off the vote and then restructuring it so you can put the roles in the right perspective, the right percentage of the pay. Your vote tonight as Council is representing all of us as citizens and what is better for Hillsdale, not if you like

the Borough Administrator or the CMFO. Are we managing the budget well, are we getting the grants we need, do we have some professional full-time eyes on our town? Make sure the vote is about those things and not about I get along, I don't like some of the things; think about performance vs. the performance of what it has to deliver for the town. That is all I have to say but I just think when I hear what I am hearing I am not looking at this from the right perspective of running a town.

Mayor Ruocco closed the Public Comment period at this time.

ORDINANCES: Adoptions/Introductions:

RESOLUTIONS:(Consent Agenda): R19222 through R19224:

[R19222](#) Resolution Authorizing Refund of Tax Overpayments

WHEREAS, a duplicate tax payment has resulted in overpayment of taxes for 2019 on the properties listed below,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Mayor and Council of the Borough of Hillsdale authorize the Tax Collector to refund the following taxes:

Block 1205 Lot 2 Qual C0044

44 Colonial Village Drive

Refund to:

Corelogic \$1,997.17

Block 1512 Lot 19

206 Oak Ridge Road

Refund to:

Corelogic \$2,800.00

Block 1515 Lot 10

40 Oakland Street

Refund to:

Corelogic \$3,155.55

Block 601 Lot 23

78 Oak Trail Road

Refund to:

Corelogic \$7,645.80

Total to be refunded \$15,598.52

[R19223](#) Authorize the Relocation/Installation of a Distribution System of Suez Water New Jersey for a Water Main and Hydrant on Patterson Street

WHEREAS, Patterson Street, LLC has presented to Suez Water New Jersey an application for an extension to its distribution system and fire hydrant installation for Block 1212 Lot 15-16 (305 Patterson Street); and

WHEREAS, the application calls for the relocation and/or installing 740' of 8" main and the installation of one (1) fire hydrant to be used for fire purposed only, in accordance with the Rules and Regulations of the Company and its rates as set forth in its Schedule of Rates filed with the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities in Newark, New Jersey; and

WHEREAS, the Borough Engineer has reviewed and approved this relocation and/or installation of a water main and the installation of one (1) fire hydrant on Patterson Street in the Borough of Hillsdale; and

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Mayor and Council approve the relocation/installation of an extension to its distribution system as well as the installation of a fire hydrant on Patterson Street located in the Borough of Hillsdale.

[R19224](#) Payment of Bills

Summary of Payment of Bills for 9/10/2019

Bills List for Approval 8/8/2019 - 9/10/2019 \$ 1,458,930.58

Voiced Checks & Checks already paid pursuant to Resolution # 19021

| Check | Process Date | Fund | Vendor | Reason | Total |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------|--------|--------|------------------------|
| PER ATTACHED CHECK REGISTER | | | | | <u>\$ 2,853,084.45</u> |
| Total Bill List for 7/16/2019 | | | | | <u>\$ 4,312,015.03</u> |

P.O. Type: All
Range: First to Last
Format: Condensed
Include Project Line Items: Yes
Open: N Paid: N Void: N
Rcvd: Y Held: Y Aprv: N
Bid: Y State: Y Other: Y Exempt: Y

| Vendor # Name | PO # | PO Date | Description | Status | Amount | Void Amount | Contract | PO Type |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| ACT07 ACTION DATA SERVICES, INC. | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01376 | 08/07/19 | RECREATION PAYROLL JULY 2019 | Open | 1,376.62 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01552 | 09/04/19 | STONYBROOK AUGUST 2019 | Open | <u>1,037.64</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 2,414.26 | | | |
| AIR02 AIRGAS, INC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-00164 | 02/08/19 | ACETYLENE/OXYGEN BLANKET PO | Open | 33.80 | 0.00 | | B |
| AMA03 A. MACCHIONE BROTHERS | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01533 | 09/03/19 | REFUND CURB CUT PERMIT #578 | Open | 150.00 | 0.00 | | |
| AME06 AMERICAN WEAR CORP | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01157 | 07/16/19 | CLOTHING DPW JULY-SEPT 2019 | Open | 368.70 | 0.00 | | B |
| AME24 AMERICAN PAPER & SUPPLY CO | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01392 | 08/09/19 | janitorial supplies | Open | 350.00 | 0.00 | | |
| AQU02 AQUA PRO-TECH LABORATORIES INC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-00552 | 04/18/19 | GROUND WATER TESTING | Open | 3,500.00 | 0.00 | | |
| ARF01 ARF RENTAL SERVICES INC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-00573 | 04/22/19 | CONSTRUCTION UNIT RENTALS | Open | 188.72 | 0.00 | | B |
| ATL09 ATLANTIC COAST FIBERS LLC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01485 | 08/23/19 | COMMINGLE RECYCABLES | Open | 2,700.52 | 0.00 | | |
| BAR11 BARNWELL HOUSE OF TIRES, INC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01448 | 08/16/19 | PART FOR SENIOR VAN | Open | 219.30 | 0.00 | | |
| BEL06 BEL-AQUA POOL SUPPLY, INC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01433 | 08/14/19 | POOL TEST STRIPS | Open | 575.09 | 0.00 | | |
| BER01 BERGEN MUNICIPAL EMP BNFT FUND | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01488 | 08/23/19 | DENTAL INS. STONYBROOK SEPTEMB | Open | 28.00 | 0.00 | | |
| BER11 BERGEN COUNTY UTILITIES AUTH | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01453 | 08/19/19 | JULY 2019 RECYCLING TAX | Open | 23,388.53 | 0.00 | | |
| BER26 BERGEN COUNTY GARDEN CTR, INC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01421 | 08/14/19 | STONYBROOK PURCHASES | Open | 49.80 | 0.00 | | |
| BER33 BERGEN COUNTY CLERK | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01486 | 08/23/19 | 2019 PRIMARY ELECTION | Open | 8,488.26 | 0.00 | | |
| BH01 B & H FOTO & ELECTRONICS CORP | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01523 | 08/29/19 | FUJITSU SCAN SNAP SCANNER | Open | 399.99 | 0.00 | | |
| BOR05 BOROUGH OF WESTWOOD | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01370 | 08/07/19 | GAS/DIESEL JUNE 2019 | Open | 3,302.75 | 0.00 | | |

| Vendor # Name | PO # | PO Date | Description | Status | Amount | Void Amount | Contract | PO Type |
|--|----------|----------|--------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| BOR18 BOROUGH OF HILLSDALE AGENCY | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01503 | 08/28/19 | SEPTEMBER MEDICAL FOR RETIREES | Open | 29,916.81 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01504 | 08/28/19 | SEPTEMBER MEDICAL INS | Open | 65,972.61 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01505 | 08/28/19 | SEPT MEDICAL INS. - STONYBROOK | Open | <u>1,007.17</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 96,896.59 | | | |
| BOR31 BOROUGH OF PARAMUS-DPW | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01384 | 08/08/19 | QUALITY OF LIFE OFFICER | Open | 200.00 | 0.00 | | |
| BOR34 BOROUGH OF RIVERDALE | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01513 | 08/28/19 | RIVERDALE SHADE TREE CLASS | Open | 150.00 | 0.00 | | |
| BTS01 BT SPECIALTIES LLC/ | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01358 | 08/06/19 | Youth Leadership Academy-Award | Open | 281.65 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01490 | 08/26/19 | 8"x10" Walnut Plaque | Open | <u>40.00</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 321.65 | | | |
| CDW01 CDW GOVERNMENT LLC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01266 | 07/29/19 | RIBBONS FOR TAX RECEIPT PRINTE | Open | 19.84 | 0.00 | | |
| CLE11 CLEARY, GIACOBBE, ALFIERI, JACOBS | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01275 | 07/29/19 | B1212 L15/16 305 PATERSON | Open | 150.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01276 | 07/29/19 | B1212 L15/16 305 PATERSON | Open | 135.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01277 | 07/29/19 | B1212 L15/16 305 PATERSON | Open | 240.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01365 | 08/07/19 | PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | Open | 600.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01373 | 08/07/19 | PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | Open | <u>255.40</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 1,380.40 | | | |
| COR16 CORELOGIC (REFUNDS) | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01489 | 08/26/19 | Tax Overpay Refund -3rd Qtr 19 | Open | 15,598.52 | 0.00 | | |
| CRO03 CROWN TROPHY RIVER EDGE, INC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01382 | 08/08/19 | SWIM RIBBONS | Open | 330.00 | 0.00 | | |
| DE01 D & E UNIFORMS | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01553 | 09/04/19 | Uniform | Open | 269.30 | 0.00 | | |
| DEL07 DELEHANTY MASONRY | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01550 | 09/04/19 | REPAIR OF BANDSHELL | Open | 3,000.00 | 0.00 | | |
| DEP01 NJ DEPT OF CORRECTIONS/DEPTCOR | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01162 | 07/16/19 | 2020 Parking Stickers | Open | 1,180.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01267 | 07/29/19 | BOROUGH RECEIPT BOOKS | Open | <u>134.50</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 1,314.50 | | | |
| DMR01 DMR ARCHITECTS | | | | | | | | |
| | 18-00611 | 04/19/18 | Prelim Redevelop Investigation | Open | 2,357.14 | 0.00 | | B |
| DON08 DONNE, MICHAEL | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01011 | 06/20/19 | dj service summer 2019 | Open | 1,050.00 | 0.00 | | B |
| ECH01 THE ECHO LAKE STABLES | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01069 | 07/01/19 | PONIES FOR FESTIVAL DAY | Open | 1,650.00 | 0.00 | | |

| Vendor # Name | PO # | PO Date | Description | Status | Amount | Void Amount | Contract | PO Type |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| ELE03 ELEVATOR MAINTENANCE CORP. | 19-00565 | 04/22/19 | Blanket Purchase Order | Open | 140.00 | 0.00 | | B |
| ELE05 ELECTRONIC MEASUREMENT LABS | 19-01086 | 07/03/19 | Methane sensor calibration | Open | 716.25 | 0.00 | | B |
| ESS01 ESS, INC. | 19-01525 | 08/29/19 | Radio Repair | Open | 335.00 | 0.00 | | |
| EXC02 EXCELLENT BUILDING SERV CORP | 19-01347 | 08/02/19 | CLEANING CONTRACT AUG-NOV 2019 | Open | 2,191.00 | 0.00 | | B |
| EXC03 EXCELLENT BUILDING SERV - STNY | 19-01345 | 08/02/19 | JUNE CLEANING SERVICES | Open | 2,385.00 | 0.00 | | |
| FAS01 FASTENAL COMPANY | 19-01037 | 06/25/19 | DPW VENDING MACHINE SUPPLIES | Open | 196.41 | 0.00 | | B |
| FEN01 FENKART, DOUGLAS R, MD | 19-01517 | 08/28/19 | Child Health Conference | Open | 300.00 | 0.00 | | |
| FIR07 FIRST STUDENT INC | 19-00989 | 06/17/19 | BUSES FOR CAMP | Open | 19,810.00 | 0.00 | | B |
| FIR16 FIREFLOW SERVICES, INC. | 19-01399 | 08/13/19 | FIRE PUMP TESTING | Open | 900.00 | 0.00 | | |
| GAE01 GAETA RECYCLING CO, INC | 19-01387 | 08/09/19 | GARBAGE CONTRACT - JULY 2019 | Open | 41,866.67 | 0.00 | | |
| GEN08 GENERAL REPRODUCTION PRODUCTS | 19-01528 | 08/29/19 | W/O # W-083304 | Open | 22.00 | 0.00 | | |
| HAFFD HAFFLER, WILLIAM | 19-01466 | 08/20/19 | REIMBURSEMENT -INMATES LUNCH | Open | 88.09 | 0.00 | | |
| HIG01 HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SUPPLY INC. | 19-01478 | 08/21/19 | STOP SIGN | Open | 49.00 | 0.00 | | |
| HIL10 HILLSDALE BOARD OF ED (OTHER) | 19-01432 | 08/14/19 | USE OF MEADOWBROOK SCHOOL | Open | 3,162.51 | 0.00 | | |
| HOD02 HODGES & SON PLUMBING & HTG | 19-01344 | 08/02/19 | REPAIRS STONYBROOK | Open | 2,166.83 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01434 | 08/14/19 | 8/2/19 REPAIRS AT STONYBROOK | Open | 438.90 | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | <u>2,605.73</u> | | | |
| HOR01 HORIZON ENTERTAINMENT & | 19-01484 | 08/23/19 | FESTIVAL DAY ENTERTAINMENT | Open | 1,970.00 | 0.00 | | |
| HUG03 HUGHES, PATRICIA | 19-01371 | 08/07/19 | STONYBROOK PURCHASES | Open | 108.71 | 0.00 | | |

| Vendor # Name | PO # | PO Date | Description | Status | Amount | Void Amount | Contract | PO Type |
|-------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| INS01 INSERRA SUPERMARKETS | 19-01473 | 08/20/19 | STONYBROOK PURCHASES | Open | 105.02 | 0.00 | | |
| JPN01 JPMONZO MUNI. CONSULTING, LLC | 19-01491 | 08/27/19 | 8.29.19 WEBINAR: BUDGETING | Open | 50.00 | 0.00 | | |
| JUM01 JUMPIN JIM'S LLC | 19-01498 | 08/28/19 | FESTIVAL DAY ENTERTAINMENT | Open | 1,500.00 | 0.00 | | |
| KAP05 KAPLAN, ALEX | 19-01366 | 08/07/19 | National Night Out Supplies | Open | 58.01 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01378 | 08/08/19 | Purchases- Natinal Night Out | Open | <u>510.11</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 568.12 | | | |
| KAR06 KARL ENVIRONMENTAL GROUP | 19-01024 | 06/24/19 | RIGHT TO KNOW SURVEY | Open | 1,387.50 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01029 | 06/24/19 | RIGHT TO KNOW SERVICES | Open | <u>462.50</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 1,850.00 | | | |
| KUI01 KUIKEN BROTHERS CO., INC. | 19-00094 | 01/31/19 | Blanket Purchase Order | Open | 177.90 | 0.00 | | B |
| KYO01 KYOCERA DOCUMENT SOLUTIONS | 19-00765 | 05/16/19 | Blanket Purchase Order | Open | 267.32 | 0.00 | | B |
| LAR01 LARRY STEVENS, LLC | 19-01368 | 08/07/19 | 8/14/19 SUMMER CONCERT | Open | 1,750.00 | 0.00 | | |
| LAW03 LAMMEN SUPPLY OF NJ, INC | 19-00083 | 01/31/19 | Custom Point Blank Vision | Open | 1,056.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01457 | 08/20/19 | Uniform- Messner, Kopec & Turr | Open | 320.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01458 | 08/20/19 | Uniform - Messner, Turrin, Kop | Open | <u>613.20</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 1,989.20 | | | |
| LOW01 LOWE'S | 19-01400 | 08/13/19 | 26-GAL QUIET COMPRESS | Open | 287.37 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01459 | 08/20/19 | ITEMS FOR DPM | Open | 133.86 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01461 | 08/20/19 | ITEMS FOR BOR HALL & FIRE DEPT | Open | 58.51 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01468 | 08/20/19 | SURFACE MOUNT/ BATTERIES (DPM) | Open | <u>24.09</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 503.83 | | | |
| LTI01 L.T.I., INC. | 19-00525 | 04/15/19 | Landscaping Blanket PO | Open | 5,237.50 | 0.00 | | B |
| | 19-01025 | 06/24/19 | LANDSCAPING BLANKET PO | Open | <u>1,100.00</u> | 0.00 | | B |
| | | | | | 6,337.50 | | | |
| MAG03 MAGLOCLEN, INC. | 19-01535 | 09/03/19 | Membership User Fees | Open | 400.00 | 0.00 | | |
| MAI05 MAIN POOL & CHEMICAL COMPANY | 19-00560 | 04/22/19 | CHLORINE FOR 2019 SEASON | Open | 3,022.50 | 0.00 | | B |

| Vendor # Name | PO # | PO Date | Description | Status | Amount | Void Amount | Contract | PO Type |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| MAR01 MARSALA HARDWARE, INC. | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01383 | 08/08/19 | July Purchases | Open | 6.82 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01386 | 08/09/19 | DPW PURCHASES FOR JULY 2019 | Open | 174.25 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01388 | 08/09/19 | BLDG DEPT PURCHASES JULY 2019 | Open | 48.51 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01423 | 08/14/19 | JULY STONYBROOK PURCHASES | Open | 77.74 | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | <u>307.32</u> | | | |
| MAS10 MASER CONSULTING PA CORP | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01515 | 08/28/19 | PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | Open | 1,200.95 | 0.00 | | |
| MED05 MEDINA, MARIA | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01563 | 09/06/19 | FACE PAINTER SUMMER CONCERT | Open | 250.00 | 0.00 | | |
| MGL01 MGL PRINTING SOLUTIONS LLC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01374 | 08/07/19 | HOMESTEAD AND TAX SEARCH FORMS | Open | 121.50 | 0.00 | | |
| MON07 MONMOUTH TELECOM, INC. | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01295 | 07/29/19 | Sip proxy device for hosted | Open | 338.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01543 | 09/04/19 | SEPTEMBER 2019 PHONE BILL | Open | 1,410.43 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01544 | 09/04/19 | STONYBROOK SEPTEMBER PHONE | Open | 105.57 | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | <u>1,854.00</u> | | | |
| MOR11 MORRISON MAHONEY, LLP | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01462 | 08/20/19 | PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | Open | 611.10 | 0.00 | | |
| MUR11 KEVIN MURTAGH ELECTRIC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-00902 | 06/05/19 | ELECTRICAL WORK STONYBROOK | Open | 1,840.00 | 0.00 | | |
| NEW19 NEW JERSEY LAWYERS SERVICE LLC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-00206 | 02/19/19 | Blanket Purcasha Order | Open | 7.45 | 0.00 | | B |
| NJ08 NJS LEAGUE OF MUNICIPALITIES | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01428 | 08/14/19 | NJLM HOUSING WEBINAR 9/29/19 | Open | 35.00 | 0.00 | | |
| NJ18 NJ WATER ENVIRONMENT ASSOC. | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01476 | 08/20/19 | CLASS & PWD MEMBERSHIP | Open | 192.00 | 0.00 | | |
| NJ20 NJLM (LEAGUE MUNICIPALITIES) | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01536 | 09/03/19 | NJLM Ticketed Events | Open | 130.00 | 0.00 | | |
| NJL02 NJ LOCAL BOARDS OF HEALTH | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-00201 | 02/19/19 | ANNUAL MEMBERSHIP 2019 | Open | 95.00 | 0.00 | | |
| NJM03 NJMMA | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01375 | 08/07/19 | Membership Meeting | Open | 30.00 | 0.00 | | |
| NOR01 N. JERSEY MEDIA(ACCT#1101697) | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01396 | 08/12/19 | Account 1101697 | Open | 13.77 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01549 | 09/04/19 | Account # 1101697 | Open | 124.53 | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | <u>138.30</u> | | | |
| NOR05 NORTHWEST BERGEN REGIONAL | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01417 | 08/13/19 | SEPT 2019 CONTRACT SERVICES | Open | 4,684.64 | 0.00 | | |

| Vendor # Name | PO # | PO Date | Description | Status | Amount | Void Amount | Contract | PO Type |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| NOR35 NORTH JERSEY CONCERT BAND, INC | 19-01367 | 08/07/19 | 8/7/19 SUMMER CONCERT | Open | 600.00 | 0.00 | | |
| PA01 P&A AUTO PARTS CORP | 19-01449 | 08/16/19 | PARTS FOR BORO VEHICLES | Open | 635.57 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01555 | 09/04/19 | VARIOUS PARTS-BORO VEHICLES | Open | <u>92.81</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 728.38 | | | |
| PAN07 PANALUNA DESIGN GROUP LLC | 19-01169 | 07/16/19 | flag pole for the borough gara | Open | 1,295.97 | 0.00 | | |
| PAS07 PASCACK VALLEY REGIONAL HIGH | 19-00747 | 05/13/19 | JULY - DEC 2019 SCHOOL TAXES | Open | 1,052,658.09 | 0.00 | | B |
| PAT11 PATRIOT BRASS ENSEMBLE | 19-00580 | 04/24/19 | MEMORIAL DAY PARADE BAND | Open | 1,450.00 | 0.00 | | |
| PC01 P.C. RICHARD & SON LLC | 19-01231 | 07/24/19 | Flat Panel TV 37" | Open | 1,904.00 | 0.00 | | |
| PHI02 PHILLIPS PREISS GRYGIEL | 19-01442 | 08/15/19 | 1201/7 ZVULUN | Open | 128.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01443 | 08/15/19 | B 1212 L 14 LOJECK | Open | <u>64.00</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 192.00 | | | |
| POW04 POWER, LISA | 19-01355 | 08/05/19 | LISA LA LA CONCERT 8/7/19 | Open | 250.00 | 0.00 | | |
| POW05 POWERDMS INC | 19-01219 | 07/24/19 | PowerDMS Pro Base | Open | 4,501.26 | 0.00 | | |
| PRA03 PRAXAIR DISTRIBUTION, INC | 19-00599 | 04/25/19 | co2 delivery | Open | 2,860.69 | 0.00 | | B |
| PRY01 PRYOR LEARNING SOLUTIONS, INC. | 19-01477 | 08/21/19 | TIME MANAGEMENT TRAINING | Open | 149.00 | 0.00 | | |
| QUI05 QUIKTEKS LLC | 19-01329 | 08/01/19 | AUGUST 2019 SUPPORT | Open | 1,588.33 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01330 | 08/01/19 | AUGUST 2019 IT SUPPORT | Open | 323.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01331 | 08/01/19 | AUGUST IT SUPPORT | Open | 107.67 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01420 | 08/14/19 | MANAGED SERVICES PROGRAM | Open | 52.98 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01531 | 09/03/19 | SEPTEMBER 2019 SUPPORT | Open | 1,588.33 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01532 | 09/03/19 | SEPTEMBER 2019 IT SUPPORT | Open | 323.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01534 | 09/03/19 | SEPTEMBER IT SUPPORT | Open | <u>107.67</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 4,090.98 | | | |
| RAC01 RACHLES/MICHELE'S OIL CO. | 19-00163 | 02/08/19 | DIESEL FUEL PURCHASE ORDER | Open | 476.92 | 0.00 | | B |
| RIC16 RICH TREE SERVICE, INC. | 19-01359 | 08/06/19 | STUMP GRINDING/REMOVAL | Open | 1,610.00 | 0.00 | | B |

| Vendor # Name | PO # | PO Date | Description | Status | Amount | Void Amount | Contract | PO Type |
|--|----------|----------|-------------------------------|--------|---------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| ROB06 ROBERT'S & SON, INC | 19-01450 | 08/16/19 | PARTS FOR LEAF MACHINE | Open | 19.86 | 0.00 | | |
| ROD07 THE RODGERS GROUP, LLC | 19-01239 | 07/25/19 | On-Line Training | Open | 3,648.00 | 0.00 | | |
| ROU01 ROUTE 23 AUTO MALL, LLC. | 19-01460 | 08/20/19 | PARTS FOR PD 273 & PD 278 | Open | 982.30 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01557 | 09/04/19 | MIRROR ASSY (PD 271) | Open | <u>202.87</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 1,185.17 | | | |
| SAN04 SANI-PURE FOOD LABORATORIES | 19-00402 | 03/19/19 | pool testing 5 pools 15 weeks | Open | 1,275.00 | 0.00 | | B |
| SEC02 SECURITY SYSTEMS PLUS, INC. | 19-01556 | 09/04/19 | FIRE ALARM TESTING AT DPW | Open | 260.00 | 0.00 | | |
| SHE08 SHERWIN-WILLIAMS CORP | 19-01230 | 07/24/19 | FIELDLAZER S90 | Open | 1,165.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01467 | 08/20/19 | WIRE BRUSH/J-KNF (DPW) | Open | 73.75 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01496 | 08/27/19 | GLOSS HUNTER GREEN | Open | <u>10.58</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 1,249.33 | | | |
| SHI01 SHI INTERNATIONAL CORP | 19-01268 | 07/29/19 | FIRE DEPT PURCHASE | Open | 1,159.48 | 0.00 | | |
| SNA01 SNAP ON TOOLS | 19-01551 | 09/04/19 | PARTS FOR DPW | Open | 468.25 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01554 | 09/04/19 | TOOL KIT (DPW SHOP) | Open | <u>854.10</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 1,322.35 | | | |
| SNJ01 SNJ-DEPT OF HEALTH | 19-01426 | 08/14/19 | JULY 2019 DOG REPORT | Open | 18.60 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01548 | 09/04/19 | AUG 2019 DOG REPORT | Open | <u>6.60</u> | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 25.20 | | | |
| SS02 S & S WORLDWIDE INC. | 19-01422 | 08/14/19 | INSTAKOOL SMALL ICE PACKS | Open | 322.80 | 0.00 | | |
| STA05 STATE LINE FIRE & SAFETY INC | 19-01454 | 08/20/19 | Smoke Simulation Fluid | Open | 55.70 | 0.00 | | |
| STA10 STATILE, CHRISTOPHER, P.A. (ESC) | 19-00945 | 06/11/19 | STATILE B1212 L15/16 305 PATE | Open | 387.50 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01017 | 06/21/19 | STATILE B1212 L15/16 305 PATE | Open | 232.50 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01278 | 07/29/19 | STATILE B1212 L15/16 305 PATE | Open | 232.50 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01402 | 08/13/19 | STATILE B 2201 L 40 GUTIERREZ | Open | 122.50 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01404 | 08/13/19 | STATILE B1212 L15/16 305 PATE | Open | 180.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01405 | 08/13/19 | 1525/10 JEC DEVELOPERS | Open | 767.50 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01406 | 08/13/19 | 2306/3 JASPER | Open | 496.25 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01407 | 08/13/19 | 1706/8 NUELLE | Open | 335.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01408 | 08/13/19 | 1622/9 GRAY | Open | 595.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01409 | 08/13/19 | 2306/10 DEROSA | Open | 457.50 | 0.00 | | |

| Vendor # Name | PO # | PO Date | Description | Status | Amount | Void Amount | Contract | PO Type |
|--|----------|----------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------|-------------|----------|---------|
| STA10 STATILE, CHRISTOPHER,P.A.(ESC) Continued | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01410 | 08/13/19 | STATILE B 1212 L 14 LOJECK | Open | 1,040.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01411 | 08/13/19 | 1201/7 ZVULUN | Open | 2,537.50 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01412 | 08/13/19 | 1523/3 GULLER/RALG | Open | 155.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01413 | 08/13/19 | STATILE B506 L 1 GOLDEN ORCH | Open | 90.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01414 | 08/13/19 | B 2002 L 17 PINELLI | Open | 90.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01415 | 08/13/19 | STATILE B1908 L11 RIBLER | Open | 45.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01538 | 09/03/19 | STATILE 502/7.01 DEGNETTO | Open | 90.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01539 | 09/03/19 | STATILE B 1212 L 14 LOJECK | Open | 1,007.50 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01540 | 09/03/19 | STATILE B1212 L15/16 305 PATE | Open | 387.50 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01541 | 09/03/19 | B1304 L8 DM ROZE STATILE | Open | 232.50 | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | <u>9,481.25</u> | | | |
| STA31 STATILE, CHRISTOPHER P.A. | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01427 | 08/14/19 | PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | Open | 1,860.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01481 | 08/23/19 | PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | Open | 1,077.50 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01482 | 08/23/19 | PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | Open | 1,240.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01516 | 08/28/19 | PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | Open | 315.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01522 | 08/29/19 | PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | Open | 2,363.75 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01526 | 08/29/19 | PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | Open | 2,205.00 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01527 | 08/29/19 | PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | Open | 14,427.50 | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | <u>23,488.75</u> | | | |
| STI06 STILES, BAIRD BURLINGTON-CONCER | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01471 | 08/20/19 | PAPER JAM BAND FESTIVAL DAY | Open | 500.00 | 0.00 | | |
| STO00 STORR TRACTOR COMPANY | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01236 | 07/24/19 | TORO SAND PRO 5040 MODEL 08705 | Open | 31,041.09 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01237 | 07/24/19 | AERA-VATOR MODEL #UA82-021 | Open | 12,288.61 | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | <u>43,329.70</u> | | | |
| SUE01 SUEZ WATER NEW JERSEY (REG) | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01559 | 09/05/19 | CENTENNIAL FIELD WATER USAGE | Open | 824.64 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01561 | 09/05/19 | WATER CHARGES 8/2-9/3/19 | Open | 301.72 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01562 | 09/05/19 | WATER CHARGES 8/2-9/3/19 | Open | 134.24 | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | <u>1,260.60</u> | | | |
| SUE02 SUEZ WATER NEW JERSEY (STBK) | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01560 | 09/05/19 | STONYBROOK WATER 8/2-9/3/19 | Open | 3,511.03 | 0.00 | | |
| TAY01 TAYLOR RENTAL INC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01524 | 08/29/19 | Festival table & chair rentals | Open | 454.50 | 0.00 | | |
| TEM TEMCO INC | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01380 | 08/08/19 | AC SERVICE CALL | Open | 182.26 | 0.00 | | |
| TIM02 TIM ENNIS | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01465 | 08/20/19 | WORK AT BEECHWOOD PARK | Open | 616.00 | 0.00 | | |
| TIM09 TIMETRACK SYSTEMS, INC. | | | | | | | | |
| | 19-01493 | 08/27/19 | EMPLOYEE PAYROLL SOFTWARE | Open | 175.00 | 0.00 | | |

| Vendor # Name | PO # | PO Date | Description | Status | Amount | Void Amount | Contract | PO Type |
|--------------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------|
| TIR04 TIRE MANAGEMENT, LLC | 19-01494 | 08/27/19 | RECYCLE CAR TIRES | Open | 81.00 | 0.00 | | |
| TRI12 TRI-COUNTY TERMITE & PEST CTRL | 19-00522 | 04/15/19 | PEST CONTROL APR-DEC 2019 | Open | 25.00 | 0.00 | | B |
| TRO02 TROPICANA CASINO & RESORT | 19-00976 | 06/13/19 | NJLM 2019 | Open | 3,172.00 | 0.00 | | |
| TRU01 TRUGREEN CHEMLAWN, INC. | 19-00524 | 04/15/19 | LAWN SERVICE FOR 2019 | Open | 1,140.00 | 0.00 | | B |
| TWE01 TWEEDLESTHECLOWN.COM, LLC | 19-01470 | 08/20/19 | FESTIVAL DAY ENTERTAINMENT | Open | 500.00 | 0.00 | | |
| TYC02 TYCO ANIMAL CONTROL | 19-01545 | 09/04/19 | ANIMAL CONTROL AUGUST 2019 | Open | 1,210.00 | 0.00 | | |
| UNU01 UNUM LIFE INS CO OF AMERICA | 19-01455 | 08/20/19 | SEPTEMBER LIFE INSURANCE | Open | 134.40 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01456 | 08/20/19 | LIFE INSURANCE STONYBROOK SEPT | Open | 4.20 | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 138.60 | | | |
| VAL06 VALLEY PRINTING & GRAPHIC INC | 19-01424 | 08/14/19 | STONYBROOK PRINTING | Open | 436.55 | 0.00 | | |
| VER03 VERIZON | 19-01537 | 09/03/19 | POLICE PHONES 8/25/19-9/24/19 | Open | 314.88 | 0.00 | | |
| VER18 VERIZON (FIOS) | 19-01519 | 08/29/19 | FIOS Internet 150M/150M W/SIP | Open | 168.66 | 0.00 | | |
| WBM01 WB MASON CO., INC. | 19-00890 | 06/05/19 | BLANKET FOR STONYBROOK WATER | Open | 144.16 | 0.00 | | B |
| | 19-01183 | 07/18/19 | JULY SUPPLIER ORDER | Open | 597.80 | 0.00 | | |
| | 19-01463 | 08/20/19 | OFFICE SUPPLY ORDER - AUGUST | Open | 556.73 | 0.00 | | |
| | | | | | 1,298.69 | | | |
| WIS02 WISS & BOUREGY, P.C. | 19-01372 | 08/07/19 | PROFESSIONAL SERVICES | Open | 6,595.25 | 0.00 | | |
| <hr/> | | | | | | | | |
| Total Purchase Orders: | | 190 | Total P.O. Line Items: | 0 | Total List Amount: | 1,458,930.58 | Total Void Amount: | 0.00 |

| Totals by Year-Fund | | Budget Rcvd | Budget Held | Budget Total | Revenue Total | G/L Total | Project Total |
|---------------------|------|--------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
| Fund Description | Fund | | | | | | |
| CURRENT FUND | 8-01 | 2,372.32 | 0.00 | 2,372.32 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CURRENT FUND | 9-01 | 1,317,811.43 | 0.00 | 1,317,811.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| STONYBROOK UTILIT | 9-05 | 25,023.34 | 0.00 | 25,023.34 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ANIMAL FUND | 9-12 | 1,235.20 | 0.00 | 1,235.20 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TRUST ACCOUNT | 9-13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15,793.72 |
| RECREATION ACCOUN | 9-14 | 1,376.62 | 0.00 | 1,376.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TRUST FUND | 9-21 | 1,806.35 | 0.00 | 1,806.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Year Total: | | 1,347,252.94 | 0.00 | 1,347,252.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15,793.72 |
| CAPITAL FUND | C-04 | 69,495.95 | 0.00 | 69,495.95 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| RECREATION ACCOUN | R-14 | 23,685.65 | 0.00 | 23,685.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| SNIM TEAM | 5-07 | 330.00 | 0.00 | 330.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total Of All Funds: | | 1,443,136.86 | 0.00 | 1,443,136.86 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15,793.72 |

| Project Description | Project No. | Rcvd Total | Held Total | Project Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| POLICE DONATIONS 7200005589 | 0000000018 | 945.47 | 0.00 | 945.47 |
| CELEBRATION OF PUBLIC EVENTS | 0000000031 | 1,900.00 | 0.00 | 1,900.00 |
| SUMMER CONCERT 7761874820 | 0000000035 | 2,600.00 | 0.00 | 2,600.00 |
| 1212/15 305 PATERSON 7763301642 | 3050010002 | 1,945.00 | 0.00 | 1,945.00 |
| CURB #578 MACCHIONE 7764627568 | CURB000578 | 150.00 | 0.00 | 150.00 |
| B502 L7.01 DEGNETTO 7763761557 | DEG0020002 | 90.00 | 0.00 | 90.00 |
| B 2306 L 10 DEROSA 7764628178 | DER0030002 | 457.50 | 0.00 | 457.50 |
| 1304/8 DM ROZE 7764627766 | DMR0010002 | 232.50 | 0.00 | 232.50 |
| 506/1.01/02 GOLDORC 7763761127 | GOL0060002 | 90.00 | 0.00 | 90.00 |
| BL1622 L9 GRAY #7764628128 | GRA0030002 | 595.00 | 0.00 | 595.00 |
| 1523/3 GULLER/RALG 7764627831 | GUL0020002 | 155.00 | 0.00 | 155.00 |
| B2201 L40 GUTIERREZ 7764627740 | GUT0010002 | 122.50 | 0.00 | 122.50 |
| 2306/3 JASPER 7764628160 | JAS0010002 | 496.25 | 0.00 | 496.25 |
| 1525/10 JEC DEVEL 7764628144 | JEC0010002 | 767.50 | 0.00 | 767.50 |
| 1706/8 MJELLER 7764628194 | MUE0010002 | 335.00 | 0.00 | 335.00 |
| 2002/17 PINELLI 7764627881 | PIN0020002 | 90.00 | 0.00 | 90.00 |
| 1212/14 PSEG LOJEK 7763301318 | PSE0040002 | 2,111.50 | 0.00 | 2,111.50 |
| B 1908 L11 RIBLER 7763761549 | RIB0010002 | 45.00 | 0.00 | 45.00 |
| B1201 L7 ZVULUN 7764628102 | ZVU0010002 | 2,665.50 | 0.00 | 2,665.50 |
| Total Of All Projects: | | 15,793.72 | 0.00 | 15,793.72 |

Range of Checking Accts: First to Last Range of Check Dates: 08/08/19 to 09/10/19
Report Type: All Checks Report Format: Super Condensed Check Type: Computer: Y Manual: Y Dir Deposit: Y

| Check # | Check Date | Vendor | Amount Paid | Reconciled/Void | Ref Num |
|-----------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|---------|
| CURRENT CURRENT | | | | | |
| 30367 | 08/16/19 | DIR02 DIRECT ENERGY BUSINESS | 1,591.36 | 08/31/19 | 9030 |
| 30368 | 08/16/19 | GFO02 GFOA OF NJ | 350.00 | | 9030 |
| 30369 | 08/16/19 | GOL14 GOLDEN NUGGET ATLANTIC CTY LLC | 315.00 | | 9030 |
| 30370 | 08/16/19 | NJ20 NJLM (LEAGUE MUNICIPALITIES) | 550.00 | 08/31/19 | 9030 |
| 30371 | 08/16/19 | SUE01 SUEZ WATER NEW JERSEY (REG) | 15,744.89 | 08/31/19 | 9030 |
| 30372 | 08/19/19 | PSE01 PSE&G (REGULAR) | 15,730.28 | 08/31/19 | 9033 |
| 30373 | 08/29/19 | FBI01 FBI NAT INC NJ CHAPTER | 900.00 | | 9037 |
| 30374 | 08/29/19 | NIC05 MICROSOFT CORPORATION | 504.00 | | 9037 |
| 30375 | 08/29/19 | VER02 VERIZON WIRELESS (CELL PHONE) | 503.78 | | 9037 |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Checking Account Totals | <u>Paid</u> | <u>Void</u> | <u>Amount Paid</u> | <u>Amount Void</u> |
| Checks: | 9 | 0 | 36,189.31 | 0.00 |
| Direct Deposit: | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | 9 | 0 | 36,189.31 | 0.00 |

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|--|----------|--|------|
| PUBLIC ASSIST2 PUBLIC ASSISTANCE | | | | | |
| 2939 | 09/01/19 | | 1,150.00 | | 9034 |
| 2940 | 09/01/19 | | 369.00 | | 9034 |
| 2941 | 09/01/19 | | 286.00 | | 9034 |
| 2942 | 09/01/19 | | 369.00 | | 9034 |
| 2943 | 09/01/19 | | 369.00 | | 9034 |
| 2944 | 09/01/19 | | 369.00 | | 9034 |
| 2945 | 09/01/19 | | 185.00 | | 9034 |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Checking Account Totals | <u>Paid</u> | <u>Void</u> | <u>Amount Paid</u> | <u>Amount Void</u> |
| Checks: | 7 | 0 | 3,097.00 | 0.00 |
| Direct Deposit: | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | 7 | 0 | 3,097.00 | 0.00 |

| | | | | | |
|---|----------|-------------------------------------|-------|--|------|
| RECREATION RECREATION TRUST | | | | | |
| 3944 | 08/29/19 | VER02 VERIZON WIRELESS (CELL PHONE) | 72.79 | | 9036 |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Checking Account Totals | <u>Paid</u> | <u>Void</u> | <u>Amount Paid</u> | <u>Amount Void</u> |
| Checks: | 1 | 0 | 72.79 | 0.00 |
| Direct Deposit: | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total: | 1 | 0 | 72.79 | 0.00 |

| | | | | | |
|---|----------|-------------------------------------|-----------|----------|------|
| STONYBROOK STONYBROOK OPERATING | | | | | |
| 128 | 08/14/19 | DTC CEDE & CO | 2,187.50 | | 9028 |
| 6268 | 08/16/19 | BOR06 BOROUGH OF HILLSDALE | 27,356.11 | 08/31/19 | 9031 |
| 6269 | 08/16/19 | DIR02 DIRECT ENERGY BUSINESS | 3,597.75 | 08/31/19 | 9031 |
| 6270 | 08/16/19 | OPT02 OPTIMUM | 157.44 | 08/31/19 | 9031 |
| 6271 | 08/16/19 | SUE02 SUEZ WATER NEW JERSEY (STBK) | 66.10 | 08/31/19 | 9031 |
| 6272 | 08/16/19 | PSE03 PSE&G (STONYBROOK) | 8,653.50 | 08/31/19 | 9032 |
| 6273 | 08/29/19 | VER02 VERIZON WIRELESS (CELL PHONE) | 42.70 | | 9035 |

| Check # | Check Date | Vendor | Amount Paid | Reconciled/Void | Ref Num |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| STONYBROOK | | | | | |
| STONYBROOK OPERATING | | | | | |
| Continued | | | | | |
| Checking Account Totals | | <u>Paid</u> | <u>Void</u> | <u>Amount Paid</u> | <u>Amount Void</u> |
| | Checks: | 7 | 0 | 42,061.10 | 0.00 |
| | Direct Deposit: | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Total: | 7 | 0 | 42,061.10 | 0.00 |
| WIRES | | | | | |
| 127 | 08/14/19 | DTC CEDE & CO | 15,006.25 | | 9027 |
| 129 | 08/15/19 | HIL02 HILLSDALE BOARD OF EDUCATION | 928,329.00 | | 9029 |
| 130 | 09/04/19 | HIL02 HILLSDALE BOARD OF EDUCATION | 1,828,329.00 | | 9038 |
| Checking Account Totals | | <u>Paid</u> | <u>Void</u> | <u>Amount Paid</u> | <u>Amount Void</u> |
| | Checks: | 3 | 0 | 2,771,664.25 | 0.00 |
| | Direct Deposit: | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Total: | 3 | 0 | 2,771,664.25 | 0.00 |
| Report Totals | | <u>Paid</u> | <u>Void</u> | <u>Amount Paid</u> | <u>Amount Void</u> |
| | Checks: | 27 | 0 | 2,853,084.45 | 0.00 |
| | Direct Deposit: | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Total: | 27 | 0 | 2,853,084.45 | 0.00 |

| Totals by Year-Fund | Fund | Budget Total | Revenue Total | G/L Total | Total |
|---------------------------|------|--------------|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| CURRENT FUND | 9-01 | 2,807,853.56 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2,807,853.56 |
| STONYBROOK UTILITY | 9-05 | 42,061.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 42,061.10 |
| PUBLIC ASSISTANCE ACCOUNT | 9-15 | 3,097.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3,097.00 |
| Year Total: | | 2,853,011.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2,853,011.66 |
| RECREATION ACCOUNT | R-14 | 72.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 72.79 |
| Total of All Funds: | | 2,853,084.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2,853,084.45 |

Motion by Council Member DeRosa, Second by Council Member Horvath.

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Council Members DeRosa, Escobar, Horvath, Lundy, Council President Pizzella

Nays: None

Absent: Council Member Segalas

OFF-CONSENT:

[R19225](#) Resolution Designating Areas in Need of Redevelopment

WHEREAS, the Local Redevelopment and Housing Law, N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-1 et seq., (“LRHL”) provides a mechanism to empower and assist local governments in efforts to promote programs of redevelopment and sets forth a specific procedure for establishing whether or not various properties constitute an “area in need of redevelopment”; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-6, prior to the Borough Council making a determination as to whether the Study Area, as hereafter defined, qualifies as an area in need of redevelopment, the Borough Council authorized and directed the Planning Board to undertake an investigation, to prepare reports and hold appropriate hearings to determine whether some of all of the properties in the Study Area meet the criteria of an area in need of redevelopment set forth in N.J.S.A. 40A:12A-5; and

WHEREAS, the Borough contracted with DMR Architects to prepare a Redevelopment Area Designation Analysis (without condemnation) and all necessary studies and reports to incident thereto, determine if the Study Area constitutes an “Area in Need of Redevelopment” and to otherwise guide the Mayor and Council and the Planning Board in the redevelopment process, deliberations, and public hearing(s); and

WHEREAS, said Redevelopment Area Designation Analysis determined that the following real property:

| <u>Block</u> | <u>Lot</u> | <u>Location</u> |
|--------------|------------|------------------------------------|
| 1207 | 8 | 49 Prospect Place |
| 1207 | 9 | 55 Prospect Place |
| 1207 | 10 | 67 Prospect Place |
| 1208 | 1 | 560 Piermont Ave |
| 1208 | 2 | 74 Prospect Place |
| 1208 | 3 | 539 Piermont Ave |
| 1208 | 4 | 115 Patterson Street |
| 1208 | 5 | 123 Patterson Street |
| 1209 | 2 | 93 Prospect Place |
| 1209 | 3 | 93 Prospect Place |
| 1209 | 4 | 95 Prospect Place |
| 1209 | 5 | 107 Prospect Place |
| 1209 | 6 | 109 Prospect Place |
| 1210 | 6 | 100 Prospect Place |
| 1210 | 7 | 560 Piermont Ave |
| 1210 | 8 | 540 Piermont Ave (554 Piermont) |
| 1210 | 9 | 550 Piermont Ave |
| 1210 | 10 | 538 Piermont Ave |
| 1210 | 11 | 77 Brookside Place |
| 1211 | 1 | 145 Patterson Street |
| 1211 | 2 | 60 Brookside Place |

inclusive of any and all streets, “paper” streets, private drives and right of ways (the “Study Area”) are an appropriate area for the program of redevelopment and for designation as an area in need of redevelopment; and

WHEREAS, the owners of the below-listed properties (the “Excluded Properties”) appeared and offered testimony at the hearing conducted before the Planning Board and objected to the inclusion of such properties within the Redevelopment Plan;

| <u>Block</u> | <u>Lot</u> | <u>Location</u> |
|--------------|------------|--------------------|
| 1209 | 5 | 107 Prospect Place |
| 1209 | 6 | 109 Prospect Place |
| 1210 | 6 | 100 Prospect Place |
| 1210 | 7 | 560 Piermont Ave |

WHEREAS, the Planning Board after hearing testimony from DMR Architects and the objectors, adopted a Resolution No. 2019-11 on July 17, 2019, accepting and approving the Redevelopment Area Designation Analysis (without condemnation) as proposed by DMR Architects; and

WHEREAS, having duly considered, among other things, (i) the record in this matter; (ii) the best interests of the community in allowing the proposed redevelopment to move forward on a timely and expeditious basis; and (iii) the avoidance of unnecessary costs and expenses associated with litigation, the Borough Council has determined to partially accept the recommendation of DMR Architects, as approved by the Planning Board, and the Borough Council having determined that those properties constituting the Study Area, with the exception of the Excluded Properties, (those properties within the Study Area, minus the Excluded Properties, being herein referred to as the “Redevelopment Area”), is an appropriate area for the program of redevelopment and for designation of an area in need of redevelopment; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Redevelopment Area shall be and hereby is designated as an area in need of redevelopment; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the foregoing redevelopment area determination shall further authorize the municipality to use all those powers provided by the New Jersey Legislature for use in a redevelopment area, except for the power of eminent domain, and the Redevelopment Area shall in no way be considered to be a “Condemnation Redevelopment Area”;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all appropriate Borough professionals, employees, elected, and appointed officials are hereby authorized and directed to take any and all steps necessary to effectuate the purposes of this Resolution; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this Resolution be forwarded to the Borough Clerk, Chief Financial Officer and Borough Planning Board Chairman and Secretary; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Borough Clerk shall, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12-6(b)(5)(c), forthwith transmit a copy of this resolution to the Commissioner of Community Affairs for review; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:12-6(b)(5)(d), the Borough Clerk shall serve, within ten (10) days of the date of the adoption of this resolution, notice of the foregoing determination upon (i) all record owners of property located with the Redevelopment Area; (ii) those whose names are listed on the tax assessor’s records; and (iii) upon each person who filed a written objection to the determination and stated, in or upon such written submission, an address to which notice of determination may be sent.

This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

Motion by Council President Pizzella, Second by Council Member Escobar

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Council Members Horvath, Council President Pizzella, DeRosa, Escobar

Nays: None

Abstain: Council Member Lundy

Absent: Council Member Segalas

[R19226](#) Authorizing the Mayor and Borough Clerk to Execute an Employment Agreement with Police Chief Robert Francaviglia on Behalf of the Borough of Hillsdale

WHEREAS, Robert Francaviglia entered into an employment agreement for the position of Police Chief on August 12, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the Borough wishes to amend the current employment agreement with Robert Francaviglia as Police Chief for the Hillsdale Police Department pursuant to the terms sheet dated September 6, 2019; and,

WHEREAS, the Governing Body has reviewed and agreed upon the changes in the current agreement pursuant to the term sheet dated September 6, 2019; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Borough Council of the Borough of Hillsdale, County of Bergen, State of New Jersey that the Labor Counsel is hereby authorized to prepare an updated employment agreement with Police Chief Robert Francaviglia as well as to take all necessary steps to carry out this resolution.

[backup](#)
[Chief Comp Term Sheet Rev](#)

Motion by Council Member Lundy, Second Council Member DeRosa.

Mayor Ruocco had one point to make on this. I have informed the Council from a financial analysis perspective that this proposed agreement involves an annual increase of 7% in compensation every year for the next four years. The CPI common inflation index for northern New Jersey area is running about 1.7%. I think this proposal is a bit rich. If it were modified to give the incumbent only a salary increase, as I understand would raise his salary to a point where he desires it to be which is similar to other chiefs in this area. The second part of the proposal talks about increasing his terminal leave from 60 days to 90 days. That aspect really bumps the overall

compensation package to 7% annually that I talked about earlier. If you just increased his salary and leave the 60 days terminal alone you would be giving him an increase of 3.4% every year for the next four or five years as the contract covers. I think that is consistent with what is going on in the economy; I have great respect for the Chief and the work he does; he runs the department in a sound manner, he is a good administrator and a good law enforcement officer. We as elected officials have a duty to adhere to standards and be fiscally responsible when we compensate our employees and in my view this doesn't qualify. It concerns me that this arrangement is going to be viewed as one of those deals that you read about in the newspapers and you shake your head over. It is an unrealistic compensation increase as the employee gets close to retirement whether he retires or not is relevant. The alternative solution I would like the Council to at least consider when looking at this resolution is to keep the salary increase but don't increase the terminal leave, keep it at 60 days. That would give the Chief an annual increase in his compensation for the life of the proposed contract of about 3.4% which I think is still generous and it would accomplish what I understand for the Police Committee, comprised of Councilwoman Lundy, Councilman Escobar and Councilman Segalas who are recommending the enhanced package, it would address at least some of the things that they and the Police Chief seek to achieve. That is all I have to say, it is a Council decision. If there is no further discussion, we had a motion and a second, I will call for a roll call vote.

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Council Members DeRosa, Horvath, Escobar, Lundy, Council President Pizzella

Nays: None

Absent: Council Member Segalas

[R19227](#) Authorizing the Mayor and Borough Clerk to Execute an Employment Agreement with Police Captain Sean Smith on Behalf of the Borough of Hillsdale

WHEREAS, Sean Smith entered into an employment agreement for the position of Police Captain on January 4, 2016; and

WHEREAS, the Borough wishes to amend the current employment agreement with Sean Smith as Police Captain for the Hillsdale Police Department pursuant to the terms sheet dated September 6, 2019; and,

WHEREAS, the Governing Body has reviewed and agreed upon the changes in the current agreement pursuant to the term sheet dated September 6, 2019; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Borough Council of the Borough of Hillsdale, County of Bergen, State of New Jersey that the Labor Counsel is hereby authorized to prepare an updated employment agreement with Police Captain Sean Smith as well as to take all necessary steps to carry out this resolution.

[backup](#)

Motion by Council Member Lundy, Second by Council Member Horvath.

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Council Members Horvath, Escobar, Lundy, Council President Pizzella, DeRosa

Nays: None

Absent: Council Member Segalas

[R19228](#) Resolution to Separate the Positions of Borough Administrator and The Certified Municipal Finance Officer (CMFO) and Authorize the Borough Clerk to Advertise for a Full Time Borough Administrator and a Part Time CMFO

WHEREAS, after extended evaluation of the current operational structure of the Borough of Hillsdale ("Borough"), the Governing Body has determined that it is in the best interests of the Borough to effectuate a restructure so as to provide for the appointment of a full time Business Administrator ("BA"), and the appointment of a separate part time Certified Municipal Finance Officer ("CMFO"), in place of the current combined BA/CMFO functions; and

WHEREAS, the aforesaid restructure will enable the Borough, through a full time Business Administrator, to more efficiently and effectively address the numerous issues confronting the Borough including, without limitation, redevelopment matters; ongoing Borough-wide projects; and management of the operational aspects of delivery of services to the residents and taxpayers of the community; and

WHEREAS, the Borough wishes to solicit applications/qualifications from potential candidates for the positions of a full time BA, and a part time CMFO, and to authorize any and all necessary actions with respect thereto in such manner as may be approved by the Governing Body; and

WHEREAS, the restructuring as aforesaid will necessarily require the retention of two (2) persons, one of which will serve in the respective position of full time BA and the other who will serve as a part time CMFO; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:9-137 and Hillsdale Code Section 51-24, the current combined BA/CMFO is serving with respect to the role of BA at the pleasure of the governing body; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A: 9-138 and Hillsdale Code Section 51-24, the Borough wishes to provide at least three (3) calendar months' notice to the current BA in light of the restructuring being approved herein, insofar as the current BA must be removed from his current position as BA in order to accommodate the above restructuring;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Borough of Hillsdale as follows:

1. The current BA shall be given three-months' notice pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:9-138 and Hillsdale Code Section 51-18 of his removal effective December 31, 2019 from the position of BA in order to accommodate the restructuring being provided for herein.
2. The Borough shall forthwith solicit applications/qualifications from potential candidates with respect to the future appointment of a full time BA and a part time CMFO, in such manner as may be approved by the Governing Body.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that all appropriate Borough professionals, employees, elected, and appointed officials are hereby authorized and directed to take any and all steps necessary to effectuate the purposes of this Resolution; and

This Resolution shall take effect immediately.

Motion by Council Member Lundy, Second by Council Member Escobar.

Council President Pizzella said he apologizes for his speech because sometimes when you are on medication that I am on, it impacts my speech and I am trying to be as clear as possible. I've always done what I think is right for Hillsdale and I have been up here for seven years now. The last time we had similar discussions was with former BA/Clerk and I didn't think those positions should be combined and it wasn't working efficiently. For similar reasons there was pain that was top heavy on one side and I want to make sure everybody understands why I am being very consistent. I do not believe in tenure and I do understand why the State has made this and the Clerk's position tenured. That being said tonight I did intend to represent Council as Council President as best I could and also to explain what it is that I am thinking in my head. I want to ask Ray Wiss something before we move forward. Is there a way we could discuss this further and still have time when we are not impacted by the contract because again there are deadlines.

Attorney Wiss replied the procedural questions are answered by motion to table, the substantive question is the CFO position be tenured does not arise until the expiration of the four year term 12-31-19 and reappoint so the tenure position does not actually involve the legal matter until 1-1. The BA issue is either 90 day notification or 90 day payment in lieu of notification. If it was a motion of exposure if you will, if notification was not given by 10-1-19 there could be a monetary exposure for notification for less than 90 days for the BA position.

Council President Pizzella said we have until October 1st to provide notice for BA.

Attorney Wiss said correct, the resolution is framed that way. Procedurally it would require a special meeting so the extent that further discussions contemplated and the resolution was tabled and you take action by October 1 at a special meeting.

Council President Pizzella said if I would ask to table the resolution and discuss some other options, I can't say Council is going to second it but would that be appropriate. I just want you to understand that Council is not

under any obligation to renew a contract at this point in time. Somebody said something about why we didn't do it earlier. We couldn't have because there is a contract and you have to do it when the contract is up; if you did it two years ago we would have broken the contract so this is only one of the opportunities Council has to make a change when it comes to the structure. The more discussion about performance the more exposure there is to the town because it is not a performance standard that you use when you make this specific decision; legally not at this time performance standard. While everybody up here except for Councilman Horvath thinks that the statutory position needs to be changed because no one up here believes that you should give tenure based on a fair, appropriate amount of money. There are differences of opinion and what actually have been done so I would like to talk to Council in Closed and then if we make a different decision we can come out and do that.

Attorney Wiss said this would change his earlier response in terms of procedural aspects. We have a motion that has been seconded and it is on the floor so unless we move ahead with the second the proper procedure would be to vote down the resolution this evening for the purpose of having additional discussion.

Council President Pizzella moved to table takes precedence over that motion.

Attorney Wiss said this has actually been moved and seconded. It would have before the motion was made and seconded. Right now the motion would have to be voted down procedurally unless who moved second would withdraw for the purpose of having the discussion.

Council President Pizzella said he thought he had to table, I would have made this comment before had I been allowed.

Attorney Wiss said you can get to the same position if the motion on the floor is defeated expressing it is not defeated on the merits, being defeated to allow additional discussion if that is what you wish.

Council President Pizaella would like to discuss it in closed. I am trying my best to make sure that we do this.

Attorney Wiss said you will get a sentiment by the roll call vote on the resolution as moved and seconded.

Mayor Ruocco said we have a motion and a second. Not hearing a withdrawal we should proceed to a vote. Who made the second?

Council Member Escobar said he did.

Mayor Ruocco asked if he withdraws.

Council Member Escobar said no.

Roll Call Vote:

Ayes: Council Members Escobar, Lundy

Council Member DeRosa said because of first and second there is no way to get back; he said yes.

Nays: Council Member Horvath

Abstain: Council President Pizzella

Mayor Ruocco said o.k., the motion carries.

At this point, Mr. DeJoseph left the dais.

COUNCIL COMMENTARIES:

Councilman Horvath said this is a sad day for Hillsdale.

Mayor Ruocco said I have comments. It is a sad day for Hillsdale. I concur with that. Now we need to seek a new BA and a new CFO and I guess the actions are an internal search committee headed by Council Members and the Mayor or an outside consultant. I urge the Council to consider having an outside consultant to conduct this, to remove any political influence; it will require a little payment for the outside consultant but considering the stakes it is worth it. I also urge that you think about hiring Mr. DeJoseph for the BA position. I am disappointed in the vote, I find it a little strange that we even have a Council member who after less than six months on Council already decided to adopt this resolution. I saw abusive power tonight and to prevent that in the future I intend to work with our labor attorney later this week to tailor an ordinance to better define the term, rights and duties of our future Borough Administrator based on the N.J. Municipal Managers Association recommendations, they have issued a template and I will proceed to work with you Mr. Wiss and introduce that

at the next Council session so that we can use that as an appropriate guide in working with an outside consultant and hiring using a model ordinance. Tomorrow we have 9-11 services and I urge the residents to attend, it is put together by our emergency services, Fire Department, Police Department, Ambulance Corps, Veterans; we shouldn't forget what happened September 11, 2001, I'll never forget it. I want to thank Elizabeth and Marty Ward for the concert series that they helped pull off; they had weather against them constantly, rain outs every other week. In some cases they were able to hold it at the United Methodist Church and he thanked the church for making their facilities available. Other times it was not open for Elizabeth to have the band there. Fall Festival is coming up, we have a parade to honor our little league teams at the 10 and under category, they won the Championship at Rhode Island so it will be this Saturday and the parade kicks off at 11:45 and walks to the Beechwood Park. We have a Community Development grant for senior arts and crafts program for \$6000. Mr. DeJoseph applied for that several months ago and it has come through.

ADJOURN TO CLOSED SESSION:

[R19229](#) To provide for a meeting not open to the public in accordance with the provisions of the New Jersey Open Public Meetings Act N.J.S.A. 10:4-12 – *Personnel, Contract Negotiations, Redevelopment Plan*

The Mayor stated that there was no need to go into closed. This resolution is pulled.

Motion _____ Second _____

Council Members Council President Pizzella, Segalas, DeRosa, Escobar, Horvath, Lundy

RECONVENE REGULAR MEETING:

Motion to reconvene _____ Second _____

Council Members Segalas, DeRosa, Escobar, Horvath, Lundy, Council President Pizzella

ADJOURNMENT:

Motion to adjourn by Council Member Horvath, Second by Council Member DeRosa, and unanimously carried.

THE NEXT MEETING OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL WILL BE

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 1, 2019

Denise Kohan, Municipal Clerk

APPROVED AS PRESENTED